

# Treatment options for the edentulous arch



*mCME articles in Dental Tribune have been approved by:*  
HAAD as having educational content for 1 CME Credit Hours  
DHA awarded this program for 1 CPD Credit Points

**ADA C-E-R-P®** Continuing Education Recognition Program  
Centre for Advanced Professional Practices (CAPP) is an ADA CERP Recognized Provider. ADA CERP is a service of the American Dental Association to assist dental professionals in identifying quality providers of continuing dental education. ADA CERP does not approve or endorse individual courses or instructors, nor does it imply acceptance of credit hours by boards of dentistry.  
CAPP designates this activity for 1 CE Credits

By Marc Montana

## Introduction

Historically, when a patient's dental condition reached a state of total tooth loss, treatment was limited to a complete denture with no hope of improving that status. The greatest challenge, particularly when working with a lower jaw was providing a denture with reasonable stability and retention. Success was greatly dependent upon the skill of the practitioner but also on the neuromuscular ability of the patient, their supporting structures and a philosophical attitude toward their condition. Treatment for patients suffering complete edentulism has been revolutionized by the ongoing success of dental implants such that the standard of care for the mandible is an implant overdenture.

The spectrum of prosthetic modalities developed since the acceptance of endosseous implants to the dental market ranges from the very simple to the astoundingly complex. As this field of study once directed by specialists has evolved into a mainstay of the general practice, favor of expeditious and reproducible methods has gained dominance over complex therapies. Implant overdentures and fixed hybrid prostheses are choices typically offered by the dentist based upon a patient's financial ability. While both are generally successful, the overdenture and the hybrid prosthesis are not without pitfalls.

## The implant-retained overdenture

The implant-retained overdenture is described as a prosthesis that covers, and is supported by, the natural tissues retained by the dental implant; the design is considered implant-assisted rather than supported. Placement of two to five implants is commonly found for the edentulous mandible with emphasis on creating a large anteroposterior spread between the endosseous pillars. If more than two implants are clustered in a small AP range, the prosthesis cannot move freely about a single axis of rotation and the denture may dislodge during function.

By creating the fulcrum on the most posterior overdenture abutments, the denture will pivot in function resulting in disengagement from the attachment mechanism and cause premature wear of the retentive components. Therefore, an increase in the number of implants beyond two does not necessarily provide a linear increase in retention and stability. In fact, the opposite may be true. Because support is provided by the mandible itself, resorption of the supporting structure will result in increased tipping of the denture during function, resulting in dislodgment. Therefore, the dentist and patient must be cognizant of the need for relining of the prosthesis periodically to assure optimal performance.

Recommendation is, therefore, placement of two implants in the anterior mandible to allow one axis of rotation. These implants should also be positioned such that future implants may be considered should the patient wish for an implant-supported alternative.

## The hybrid prosthesis

The screw-retained hybrid prosthesis is a fully implant-supported structure and, therefore, is not affected by incremental resorption of the residual ridges. It has gained in popularity as the technically difficult and costly gold frameworks have been replaced by CAD/CAM titanium structures and by proven success of angled implant placement to increase the AP spread. Because the restoration has a metal substructure, it is possible to cantilever posterior to the terminal abutment, increasing the length of the functional arch.

However, the esthetic component of the restoration, namely the denture teeth and acrylic resin matrix, are inherently weak materials originally intended for use in complete and partial dentures where functional load is comparatively low. If insufficient inter-arch space is available, the risk of fracture or displacement of denture teeth or resin base is high as the materials will be too thinned to withstand forces generated during function and especially parafunction.

Unfortunately, this is an increasingly common occurrence, especially in restoration of the maxilla with a fixed hybrid prosthesis. Inconvenient screw-access holes may further weaken the prosthetic teeth. Repair of a fractured or lost tooth requires removal of the hybrid prosthesis and correction in the dental laboratory. The dentist must be prepared to remove the structure and later reseat it once the repair is completed. The patient must accept they will be without "teeth" for the length of time required for the technician to fix the problem. Attempts to prevent fracturing by increasing the thickness of the resin is limited by the space available to do so. If inadequate inter-arch space is encountered, correction cannot be achieved by adding more material. Rather a change in design to a different and possibly more expensive restoration may be needed. When hybrids are used in the maxilla, conflict may arise in attempting to improve the esthetic and phonetic result by use of ridge lapping and the limitations such shapes impose on proper oral hygiene.

The benefits of the fixed hybrid prosthesis are clearly improved function and minimal post-treatment complications as long as the patient is able to properly clean it and breakage is avoided. Because it is fixed, the patient cannot remove it to clean away entrapped debris and properly remove plaque. Repair or replacement of the resin teeth requires removal and re-seating by a dentist.



Figure 1. Pre-treatment radiograph showing five implants clustered in the anterior mandible



Figure 2. Clinical image of patient. Note the wear of the metal abutments due to disengagement of the nylon retention inserts as a result of fulcrum during function.



Figure 3. Duplication of an acceptable denture serves as a custom tray. Holes of sufficient diameter to accommodate impression copings have been prepared.



Figure 4. Open tray impression copings seated on the dental implants. One implant is selected for disuse and covered with a transmucosal abutment.



Figure 5, 6. Completed final impression using the custom tray and light body and medium body PVS, as well as rigid bite registration material around the impression copings to eliminate any movement of the copings.



## ATLANTIS Conus concept: the removable implant-supported bridge

As described above, the tissue-supported overdenture performs best with only two implants placed in the anterior region. When more than two implants are placed, the goal should be to provide a completely implant-supported result. The Atlantis Conus concept (DENTSPLY Implants) provides the optimal functioning convenience of a fixed hybrid but also allows patient retrievability for unobstructed oral hygiene practice, regardless of the degree of ridge lap. It is in effect, a prosthesis that can be removed by the patient, with the stability of a fixed bridge.

The concept centers around patient-specific abutments, each milled to a 5 degree convergence, and parallel to each other in the dental arch. Recommendation is for at least four implants in the mandible and four to five implants in the maxilla. These uniquely designed, conical abutments are fitted by corresponding metal SynCone caps (DENTSPLY Implants) which are incorporated into the prosthesis. The result is a friction-fit, stable, retentive and fully implant-supported bridge that remains removable by the patient.

No special latches or plunger attachments are necessary to retain it. The patient merely slides the bridge in vertically onto the abutments and removes it in the opposite way. Because the abutments are a part of the ATLANTIS (DENTSPLY Implants, Waltham, MA) portfolio, it is available for all major systems. In addition, because each abutment is custom made, correction of angled implant placement is possible up to 30 degrees. Two major requirements are necessary: the dentist must make an accurate, implant-level impression and a scan must be made of either an approved denture set-up or of a completed denture to be retro-fitted. The ATLANTIS Conus Abutments are then designed to be positioned optimally within the denture confines. The fixed yet removable prosthesis offers the advantages of excellent chewing function, improved esthetics and fracture resistance (as no screw access holes are present) and optimally facial supporting contours, without compromising cleaning by the patient.

## Case Report

A 73-year-old woman with a history of 11 years of complete edentulism of the maxilla and mandible, and five endosseous implants in the anterior mandible, presented with a chief

complaint of a non-retentive and unstable lower denture. The implants were standard diameter, externally hexed, Branemark fixtures. She had moderate resorption of both the maxillary and mandibular residual ridges (Fig. 1).

The patient had bone loss involving the implant bodies but comparing the radiographic evidence available, documenting her condition through the years, it appears the bone loss occurred soon after implant placement and no appreciable change was seen thereafter.

During those 11 years, her treatment history included initial restoration of the implants with a complete denture retained by the Locator attachment system (Zest Anchors); and the maxilla was restored with a complete denture. She advised that the result was unsatisfactory as the lower denture displaced during function.

Her history further reveals that the Locators were replaced with Preci-Clix attachments (Ceka Attachments) with no demonstrable improvement. The patient was later retreated by the author, with new maxillary and mandibular complete dentures and new Locator attach-

## ◀Page 6

ments used to retain the lower prosthesis. The attachment male components were secured intra-orally using auto-polymerizing resin to eliminate the possibility of laboratory error. The patient continued to experience problems with the lower denture coming loose during function and required frequent replacement of the nylon male inserts; replacement with Extended Range inserts did not vary performance. The metal abutments demonstrated considerable wear as well (Fig. 2). Relining the lower denture did not improve the performance of the anchor system.

At the subsequent appointment, the patient was presented with the ATLANTIS Conus concept as a potential solution to her ongoing dilemma. Treatment options were presented as well including a fixed hybrid prosthesis and a 2-in-1 bar overdenture. These were rejected as inter-arch space was less than optimal, requiring compromise to the strength of the design. The patient also expressed a desire for a removable design as she was concerned with having adequate facial support and wished to be able to remove the prosthesis for proper hygiene and maintenance. It was agreed that a new maxillary and mandibular complete denture would be fabricated, and ATLANTIS Conus abutments would be made to secure the lower restoration.

### Clinical and laboratory procedures

Because the existing dentures were made within the last five years and were acceptable with regard to tooth position and vertical dimension, it was decided that clear, acrylic resin duplicates of each denture would be made to serve as custom trays. Double-sided impressions of each denture were made and delivered to the dental laboratory for fabrication of the duplicates. Once processed, the copy denture borders were shortened by 2 mm to allow border molding. The duplicate of the mandibular denture clearly showed the position of each Locator housing and therefore the position of the dental implants. Holes of adequate diameter to allow the duplicate denture to be placed in the patient's mouth over impression copings were prepared (Fig. 3). The intaglio surface of both the upper and lower duplicate denture were relieved to allow for a wash impression.

The patient returned for final impressions, and the Locator abutments were removed and kept in appropriate order to avoid confusion when re-seating them at the appointment completion. Open tray impression copings were connected to each of the four dental implants to be restored and tightened into place; one implant with greater bone loss and placed significantly more shallowly than the rest was omitted (Fig. 4). Light-body poly vinyl siloxane was injected around the base of each impression coping and medium-body PVS was placed in the custom tray.

The tray was seated, ensuring that the impression copings were completely accessible through the holes previously prepared. The patient was instructed in facial and tongue movement to achieve proper peripheral border extension. Regisil Rigid (DENTSPLY) bite registration material was injected around each impression coping to rigidly adhere them to the impression tray. This step is critical as reliance on flexible impression material may allow transfer error when constructing the working cast.

Once the impression materials were fully set, the screws retaining the im-

pression copings were removed and the final impression and tray were withdrawn from the patient (Fig. 5, 6). All Locator abutments were re-seated and tightened. Final impression of the maxilla was completed with border molding using modeling plastic and a wash impression with light-body PVS. Upon completion, the patient was dismissed.

In the dental laboratory, implant analogs were secured to the impression posts, gingival moulage was injected around the analogs to an adequate depth to completely cover the coping-analog interface. The impressions were boxed with wax and poured in vacuum-mixed die stone. After setting, the impression coping screws were removed and the impressions were separated from the hardened casts; standard laboratory procedures were followed in cleaning and trimming the working casts. Base plate and wax rims were made for continuation of the denture fabrication. The impression materials were removed from the duplicate denture and it was positioned back onto the mandibular cast to be scanned. An online order was completed including identification of the implants involved and the case was shipped to DENTSPLY Implants for the design and manufacture of the ATLANTIS Conus Abutments.

The working cast, implant analog connections and the denture duplicate were scanned at the ATLANTIS production site and the abutments were individually designed using ATLANTIS VAD (Virtual Abutment Design) software to ensure that all abutments were parallel to each other. The restorative margin of each abutment were placed close to the soft tissue height surrounding each implant, but always supra-gingival to guarantee unobstructed seating of the finished restoration.

Each abutment was milled to a 5 degree taper to match the SynCone caps ensuring an intimate friction-fit. Upon design completion, the images of the abutment designs were made available for review and approval before manufacturing (Fig. 7). Once the design presented was found to be satisfactory, approval for production of the patient-specific abutments was granted. It is important to note that no fees are incurred by the dentist or dental laboratory during this process until design is agreed upon and authorization to proceed is given. The abutments are custom designed to fit specifically to the denture set-up or duplicate denture provided; there are no sizes, heights, angles or collars to select from a catalog and, therefore, no risk of choosing incorrectly.

When received, the ATLANTIS Conus abutments were secured to the working cast with abutment screws, along with four prefabricated SynCone caps (Figs. 8, 9). The caps were seated on to the abutments and sent to the dental laboratory to be impressed. The impression was poured twice, one in improved dental stone and one in refractory material for fabrication of a cast metal frame. While waiting for the frame to be completed, final try-in appointments for the denture set-up were completed, and the patient approved fabrication of the dentures.

The denture set-up with a final bite record were returned to the dental lab, the cast metal frame was seated on the improved dental stone cast and areas around the stone copy of the SynCone caps were blocked out prior to processing. The SynCone caps will be captured intraorally, rather than having them processed in the dental laboratory. All work was completed on the duplicate stone cast rather than the original



Figure 7. Design images showing the contour and tooth position of the duplicate denture and proposed design of the ATLANTIS Conus Abutments




Figure 8. ATLANTIS Conus Abutments on the working cast. Each abutment has the tooth number location scribed on the buccal-facing surface



Figure 9. SynCone caps seated on the abutments on the working cast. An impression of this arrangement is made to fabricate a cast metal frame to reinforce the final restoration



Figure 10. Completed laboratory restoration; note the termination the arch at the first molar to avoid excessive cantilever length. The chrome frame is opaqued on the functional side to prevent gray show-through



Figure 11. Completed laboratory restoration showing the metal frame and recessed area to receive the SynCone caps



Figure 12. ATLANTIS Conus Abutments seated. Note the "margins" of the abutment, and the point where the parallel preparation begins, is supra-gingivally positioned



Figure 13. SynCone caps are fitted to the abutments to verify unobstructed and complete seating



Figure 14. Rubber dam is placed over the abutments to prevent pick-up material from locking into undercut areas below the prepared margin. SynCone caps are seated and ready to be captured into the prosthesis

working cast. The cast metal frame was opaqued to prevent gray show-through. The set up was transferred to the cast with the metal frame and the dentures were processed (Figs. 10, 11).

Because the ATLANTIS Conus concept results in a fully implant-supported prosthesis, the peripheral borders of the finished structure were greatly reduced and the occlusal table was abbreviated at the first molar. The length of functional arch follows the identical AP spread principles used for hybrid prosthetics to avoid excessively long cantilevers.

At this point, the structure is a bridge and not an overdenture. To facilitate seating of the abutments in the patient, a clear matrix was made with the abutments on the original working cast; where they have remained since receipt. Each abutment was identified with one, two, three and four black ink dots respectively, based on their position on the cast. The clear matrix was seated over the abutments and corresponding black dots were drawn on it to line up exactly with those on the abutments. The completed mandibular bridge was double-side impressed by the dental technician and an injection-mold copy of clear acrylic resin was made.

The patient was scheduled for completion of treatment. The Locator abutments were again removed and Teflon tape was placed in the implant excluded from the design.

The abutments were seated onto the dental implants (Fig. 12), and the clear matrix was placed to verify that each abutment was correctly orientated by checking that the dots on the matrix superimposed with those on the abutments.

Once verified, the abutments were torqued to 20 Ncm, appropriate for the implants involved. The SynCone caps were placed and viewed with magnification to assure that they were superior to the gingival tissues (Fig. 13). The prosthesis was placed over the caps to verify there was no obstruction of complete seating. The prosthesis was removed and vent holes were drilled through the buccal contours of the acrylic resin to relieve hydraulic pressure during capture of the caps. The SynCone caps were lifted and a rubber dam was placed around the abutments to prevent pick-up resin from locking into undercuts, and the caps were re-seated (Fig. 14).

Attachment processing material (Chairside by Zest Anchors) was placed in the reservoirs of the prosthesis and seated over the SynCone caps. The upper denture was placed and the patient was instructed to gently close into full occlusion and to maintain position for two minutes while setting occurred. After two minutes, the excess flow of pick-up resin was checked for hardness and after an additional minute the prosthesis was ready for removal. Removal was uneventful although retention was considerable; removal

of the bridge can only occur following the long-axis of the abutments, no tipping or rotating is possible (Fig. 15, 16).

Once removed, the excess pick-up material was removed and the bridge was properly polished where needed. The abutments were packed with Teflon tape to within 3 mm of the surface, and the remaining space was filled with flowable composite resin (Fig. 17). The patient was instructed on placement and removal and repeated the exercise until we were satisfied she would experience no difficulties performing this. The clear, duplicate copy of the bridge was seated onto the abutments using a chair-side soft lining material (Fig. 18).

This copy serves as a temporary device for the patient to wear when cleaning the finished bridge or when sleeping to protect the tongue from scraping against the abutments. A panoramic radiograph was taken at completion of treatment (Fig. 19).

The patient returned after one week and again after six weeks, and reported at both visits that the lower bridge did not move at all during function and stayed seated until she removed it. She commented on the ease of cleaning the dental abutments, and she reported no discomfort and no food entrapment. Overall, the patient was very pleased with the result (Fig. 20).

# Intraoral welding and lingualized (lingual contact) occlusion: a case report

**ADA C-E-R-P®** Continuing Education Recognition Program

Centre for Advanced Professional Practices (CAPP) is an ADA CERP Recognized Provider. ADA CERP is a service of the American Dental Association to assist dental professionals in identifying quality providers of continuing dental education. ADA CERP does not approve or endorse individual courses or instructors, nor does it imply acceptance of credit hours by boards of dentistry.

CAPP designates this activity for 1 CE Credits



*mCME articles in Dental Tribune have been approved by:*  
HAAD as having educational content for 1 CME Credit Hours  
DHA awarded this program for 1 CPD Credit Points

By Dr. Luca Dal Carlo, Dr. Franco Rossi, Dr. Marco E. Pasqualini, Dr. Mike Shulman, Dr. Michele Nardone, MD, Tomasz Grotowski, Dr. and Sheldon Winkler

Intraoral welding was developed by Pierluigi Mondani of Genoa, Italy, in the 1970s to permanently connect submerged implants and abutments to a titanium wire or bar by means of an electric current (Fig. 1). The current is used to permanently fuse the titanium to the abutments in milliseconds, so the heat generated does not cause any pathology or patient discomfort.

If possible the implants are placed without flaps. The titanium wire or bar is bent and aligned passively to the contour of the labial and lingual surfaces of the implants before applying the electric current to permanently connect titanium implants.

The technique follows a strict surgical and prosthodontic protocol, which includes using a number of implants as close as possible to the number of teeth to be replaced, achieving primary stability by engaging both cortical plates (bicorticalism), immediate splinting of the implants utilizing intraoral welding and immediate insertion of a fixed provisional prosthesis with satisfac-

tory occlusion. The technique provides for immediate loading and does not jeopardize the integration process.<sup>2</sup>

Although intraoral welding has been used successfully in Europe, especially Italy, for many years, it has yet to achieve everyday use in the United States.

Members of the Italian affiliate of the American Academy of Implant Prosthodontics, NuovoGISI, have long and successful experiences with immediate loading of maxillary implants connected together by intraoral welding.<sup>2</sup>

By inserting the prosthesis with adequate retention and stability the same day as the surgery, patient complaints and discomfort can be avoided or substantially reduced. The instantaneous stability that results from the splinting can reduce the risk of failure during the healing period. Intraoral welding can also eliminate errors and distortions caused by unsatisfactory impression making, as the procedure is performed directly in the mouth.

Intraoral welding can fulfil a great need for business and socially active

►Page 9




Fig. 1. Schematic drawing of Mondani intraoral solder unit




Fig. 2. Preoperative panoramic radiograph of 50-year-old caucasian woman



Fig. 3. Nonrestorable teeth visible after removal of the patient's prosthesis



Fig. 4. Eight titanium one-piece implants are inserted.




Fig. 5. Immediate stabilization of the eight implants and two additional implants previously inserted in the posterior regions, by welding each implant to a 1.5 mm supporting titanium bar




Fig. 6. Panoramic radiograph after 90 days suggests complete integration




Fig. 7. Healthy gingiva was observed after 90 days



Fig. 8. Lower implants welded together intraorally



Fig. 10. Seven-year follow-up radiograph shows satisfactory preservation of bone surrounding all of the implants



Fig. 9. Three-tooth mandibular fixed prosthesis



Fig. 11. Intraoral photograph of the definitive prosthesis shows healthy gingiva

## mCME SELF INSTRUCTION PROGRAM

CAPPmea together with Dental Tribune provides the opportunity with its mCME - Self Instruction Program a quick and simple way to meet your continuing education needs. mCME offers you the flexibility to work at your own pace through the material from any location at any time. The content is international, drawn from the upper echelon of dental medicine, but also presents a regional outlook in terms of perspective and subject matter.

### Membership

Yearly membership subscription for mCME: 1,100 AED  
One Time article newspaper subscription: 250 AED per issue. After the payment, you will receive your membership number and allowing you to start the program.

### Completion of mCME

- mCME participants are required to read the continuing medical education (CME) articles published in each issue.
- Each article offers 2 CME Credit and are followed by a quiz Questionnaire online, which is available on [www.cappmea.com/mCME/questionnaires.html](http://www.cappmea.com/mCME/questionnaires.html).
- Each quiz has to be returned to [events@cappmea.com](mailto:events@cappmea.com) or faxed to: +97143686883 in three months from the publication date.
- A minimum passing score of 80% must be achieved in order to claim credit.
- No more than two answered questions can be submitted at the same time
- Validity of the article – 3 months
- Validity of the subscription – 1 year
- Collection of Credit hours: You will receive the summary report with Certificate, maximum one month after the expiry date of your membership. For single subscription certificates and summary reports will be sent one month after the publication of the article.

The answers and critiques published herein have been checked carefully and represent authoritative opinions about the questions concerned.

Articles are available on [www.cappmea.com](http://www.cappmea.com) after the publication. For more information please contact [events@cappmea.com](mailto:events@cappmea.com) or +971 4 3616174

FOR INTERACTION WITH THE AUTHORS FIND THE CONTACT DETAILS AT THE END OF EACH ARTICLE.

## ◀Page 8

persons, as the surgical and prosthodontic procedures are accomplished on the same day. Patients can leave the dental office with a stable, esthetic and retentive prosthesis.

The flapless technique, first proposed by Tramonte<sup>3</sup>, can be performed when the bony crest is wide and an adequate amount of attached gingiva is present. The technique allows for uneventful healing, a reduction of postsurgical inflammation and only moderate inconvenience for the patient, who can eat efficiently the same day.

### Provisional prosthesis and tooth arrangement

During the surgical session a temporary resin prosthesis is inserted. Occlusal plane height must be correct. A lingualized (lingual contact) scheme of occlusion is recommended. The upper anterior teeth are best arranged without any vertical overlap. The amount of horizontal overlap is determined by the jaw relationship. A vertical overlap for appearance can be used, provided that an adequate horizontal overlap is included to guard against interference within the functional range.<sup>4</sup>

### Lingualized (lingual contact) occlusion

Lingualized (lingual contact) occlusion maintains the esthetic and food penetration advantages of anatomic teeth while maintaining the mechanical freedom of nonanatomic teeth. Among the advantages of a lingualized occlusion are occlusal forces centered over the ridge crest in centric occlusion, masticatory force is effectively transferred more "lingual" to the ridges during working side excursions, the "mortar and pestle" type of occlusion minimizes the occlusal contact area providing for more efficient food bolus penetration and elimination of the precise intercuspatation that can complicate the arrangement of anatomic denture teeth.

Lingualized occlusion also prevents cheek biting by holding the buccal mucosa off the food table by eliminating occlusal contacts on the maxillary buccal cusps, minimizes occlusal disharmonies created from errors in jaw relationships, denture processing changes and settling of the denture base, and simplifies setting of denture teeth, balancing the occlusion and any subsequent occlusal adjustment procedures.<sup>5</sup>

### Clinical report

A healthy 50-year-old caucasian woman presented for treatment at the office of one of the co-authors (LDC) with a mobile, painful, 12-tooth semiprecious alloy-ceramic fixed prosthesis (Fig. 2). The prosthesis was removed and all of the remaining abutment teeth were found to be nonrestorable with extraction indicated (Fig. 3). After removal of the retained teeth, eight titanium one-piece implants were inserted in one session (Fig. 4).

Immediate stabilization of the eight implants and 2 additional implants that were previously inserted in the posterior regions was achieved by welding (Acerboni Intraoral Welding Unit, Casargo, Italy) each implant to a 1.5 mm supporting titanium bar (Acerboni, Casargo, Italy), which previously had been bent to fit passively on the palatal mucosa (Fig. 5). A provisional resin prosthesis was inserted, which provided an acceptable vertical dimension and lingual contact occlusion. Oral hygiene procedures were demonstrated to the patient and reviewed at all future appointments.

After 90 days, a panoramic radio-

graph suggested complete integration (Fig. 6) and a healthy mucosa was observed. (Fig. 7). The definitive full-arch gold-ceramic maxillary prosthesis was inserted, which greatly pleased the patient and her family.

In the lower arch, the right first and second bicusps were extracted and implants placed in the first bicuspid and first molar regions. The implants were welded together intraorally (Fig. 8), followed by the fabrication and cementation of a three-tooth fixed prosthesis (Fig. 9).

A 7-year follow-up radiograph (Fig. 10) shows satisfactory preservation of bone surrounding all of the implants. An intraoral photograph of the definitive prosthesis shows healthy gingival tissue (Fig. 11).

### References

- Mondani PL, Mondani PM. The Pierluigi Mondani intraoral electric solder. Principles of development and explanation of the solder using syncrystallization. *Riv Odontostomatol Implantoprosesi*. 1982;4:28-32.
- Rossi F, Pasqualini ME, Dal Carlo L, Shulman M, Nardone M, Winkler S. Immediate loading of maxillary one-piece screw implants utilizing intraoral welding: a case report. *J*

Oral Implantol (in press).

- Tramonte S. A further report on intraosseous implants with improved drive screws. *J Oral Implant Transplant Surg*. 1965;11:35-37.
- Winkler S, ed. *Essentials of Complete Denture Prosthodontics*. 3rd ed. Delhi, India: AITBS Publishers; 2015.
- Lang BR, Lauciello FR, McGivney GP, Winkler S. *Contemporary Complete Denture Occlusion*, revision 4. Amherst, NY: Ivoclar Vivadent, 2012. **DT**

*Dr. Luca Dal Carlo is in private practice in Venice, Italy. Dr. Franco Rossi is in private practice in Varese, Italy. Dr. Marco E. Pasqualini is in private practice in Milan, Italy. Dr. Mike Shulman is in private practice in Clifton, N.J., and adjunct associate professor at the School of Oral Health Sciences, Kingston, Jamaica. Dr. Michele Nardone is with the Ministry of Public Health, Rome, Italy. Dr. Sheldon Winkler is adjunct professor at Midwestern University College of Dental Medicine, Glendale, Ariz., and School of Oral Health Sciences, Kingston, Jamaica. Dr. Tomasz Grotowski is in private practice and professor at the School of Minimally Invasive Implantology, Szczecin, Poland.*

## ◀Page 7

### Discussion

The number of implants placed for an edentulous patient should be based upon whether the design is to be implant-assisted or implant-supported. If the goal is a minimalist design utilizing the soft tissue for support, two implants using Locator attachments are appropriate to retain a mandibular denture and will provide a predictable outcome. However, when more than two implants using resilient overdenture retainers are employed, there is not a corresponding linear increase in retention of the denture and the result may suffer. Therefore, when at least four implants are planned, the restoration should be designed as implant-supported to maximize the value of the patient's greater investment.

This article discusses just such a situation where a patient had experienced repeatedly low value from her investment of five implants. By redesigning her treatment to become implant-supported through the use of the ATLANTIS Conus concept, a successful result was achieved without the greater expense of a fixed hybrid. The final result was functionally comparable to a fixed restoration while providing lip and cheek support of a removable prosthesis without complicating or obstructing oral hygiene.

The telescopic design of the ATLANTIS Conus concept provides outstanding retention of the prosthesis during function as edentulous patients chew in a relatively flat elliptical pattern and the bridge can only be removed vertically. The abutments themselves are patient-specific and can be made for all major implant systems, allowing rescue of many frustrating results with overdentures.

As long as there is sufficient inter-arch space (at least 12 mm), existing finished dentures can be retro-fit with ATLANTIS Conus abutments, reducing patient cost while providing a stable result. Cast chrome frame reinforcement is advised for all new ATLANTIS Conus prosthe-

ses as the tremendous increase in strength of the bridge by the frame more than offsets the slight increase in cost and may actually reduce required inter-arch space.

The clinical procedure is relatively simple and comparable to implant overdentures; however, because

the abutments are patient-specific, tooth position must be established before the design of the abutments is begun.

### Conclusion

A patient with an 11-year history of frustration with her dental implant

investment was treated successfully with the ATLANTIS Conus concept using patient-specific abutments and SynCone caps, providing an implant-supported, removable bridge with all the benefits of a fixed design and none of the limitations.

### Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Fred Senne, John Bergstresser and Sean Ferguson (DENTSPLY Implants) for their expertise and information. The author would also like to thank Tom Wiand and the talented team at Wiand Dental Laboratory for the laboratory procedures and products described in this article.

### References

- Zarb G A. The edentulous milieu. *J Prosthet Dent* 1983; 49: 825-831.
- Fish E W. Using the muscles to stabilize the full lower denture. *JADA*, Dec 1933: 2163-69.
- The Glossary of Prosthodontic Terms. Eighth edition. *J Prosthet Dent*, 2005, 94; 1: 10-92. **DT**

*Editorial note: The full list of references is available from the publisher.*



Figure 15. Completed bridge with SynCone caps processed in position. Because they have been processed intra-orally, there is no error in fit, these caps are extremely retentive allowing only vertical displacement of the prosthesis



Figure 16. Completed restoration. Note the absence of screw access holes for a prosthesis that looks like a denture yet fits like a bridge



Figure 17. ATLANTIS Conus abutments torqued to specified level, obturated with Teflon tape and composite resin




Figure 18. Laboratory processed, clear duplicate prosthesis with siliconized relene material to improve retention; to be used as a nighttime appliance to protect the tongue from the sharper edges of the abutments




Figure 19. Panoramic radiograph of the abutments seated on the four selected implants. Because the restoration is fully implant-supported, gradual diminution of the residual ridge will present no consequence to the patient



Figure 20. Completed bridge in place showing flange length suitable to prevent food



**Dr. Marc Montana**  
Dr. Montana graduated from the University of Southern California School of Dentistry in 1987 and completed his certificate in Advanced Prosthodontics at USC in 1989. He has maintained a full-time private practice in Tempe, Arizona since 1989, emphasizing fixed, removable and implant prosthodontics. He has been a clinical instructor while attending USC and currently is a clinical instructor and lecturer in the Advanced Education of General Dentistry program at the Arizona School of Dentistry and Oral Health. He is a member of the Dentsply Implants' PEERS North America study club, the American College of Prosthodontists, the American Academy of Fixed Prosthodontics, the Pacific Coast Society for Prosthodontics, the Academy of Osseointegration and the American and Arizona Dental Associations. He has lectured extensively throughout North America on the topics of implant, fixed and removable prosthodontics. He can be reached at 480-820-2901 or office@markmontanadds.com