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Dear Reader,

The success of modern endodontic treatment can largely be attributed to the work of Dr Herbert Schilder. This is not because he pioneered 3-D obturation with warm gutta-percha, not because he defined the first mechanical and biological objectives for shaping and cleaning a root-canal system, not because he stood by his principles in the face of criticism, but because he raised the bar for endodontic success to a new level. Numerous gifted educators and clinicians have followed and furthered Dr Schilder’s principles and techniques. In fact, in this edition of roots, two contributing authors were trained by Dr Schilder.

Historically, endodontic treatment has been considered the last option for saving a tooth prior to extraction. The option of endodontic treatment was compared to that of not having a tooth, which was an easy decision to make. Presently, dental implants are the comparative treatment against which endodontic success is measured. Many believe, and I agree, that this comparison has also raised the bar for endodontic treatment success to a new level.

Raising the level of endodontic treatment begins with knowing when to treat a compromised case and when not to treat a hopeless case. Correctly determining this improves the success of endodontic treatment. Utilising technology such as cone-beam imaging gives clinicians diagnostic information about the aetiology of endodontic pathology that is critical to determining whether a case can be treated endodontically. Treating complicated cases with advanced micro-surgical endodontic treatment is also required to improve the success of endodontic treatment. It is essential that current and future generations of endodontic clinicians continue to practise and improve micro-surgical endodontic treatment.

I am honoured to contribute to this edition of roots. As you will see in the following articles, roots has become a premier international endodontic publication. It offers a forum for renowned clinicians and educators to share their knowledge and expertise with colleagues in all fields of dentistry. I hope you will enjoy this issue of roots as much as I did.

Sincerely yours,

Dr Chris J. Lampert
Guest Editor
Endodontist
Portland, Oregon, USA
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Untying the Gordian Knot: An evidence-based endo-implant algorithm (Part I)

Author_ Dr Kenneth S. Serota, USA

Study the past, if you would divine the future.
—Confucius

The endodontic implant algorithm provides highlights in the assessment and identification of determinant factors leading to endodontic failures, in order to help in the decision-making process, whether it is adequate to implement a new endodontic approach versus extraction and replacement with dental implants.

—Confusion

Over the years, endodontics has diminished itself by enabling the presumption that it is comprised of a narrowly defined service mix; root-canal therapy purportedly begins at the apex and ends at the orifice. Nothing could be further from the truth. It is the catalyst and precursor of a multivariate continuum, potentially the foundational pillar of all phases of any rehabilitation (Figs. 1a–c).

Early diagnosis of teeth requiring endodontic treatment, prior to the development of peri-radicular disease, is critical for a successful treatment outcome. Aesthetics, function, structure, biologics and morphology are the variables in the equation of optimal oral health. Interventional or interceptive endodontics, restorative endodontics, the re-engineering of failing therapy, transitional endodontics and surgical endodontics encompass a vast scope of therapeutic considerations prior to any decision/tipping point to replace a natural tooth.

Everything we do as dentists is transitional, with the exception of extractions. No result is everlasting, none are permanent; thus our treatment plans must reflect this reality. Artifice versus a natural state is not a panacea for successful treatment outcomes (Figs. 2a–d).

In 1992, funding from the Cochrane Collaboration was obtained for the UK Cochrane Centre based in Oxford to facilitate the preparation of systematic reviews of randomised trials of health care. The Cochrane Systematic Review is a process that involves locating, appraising, and synthesising evidence from scientific
In 1952, the enterprising son of an inventor named Ron Popeil created infomercials using 30- to 120-second television spots to sell his inexpensive array of useful products, including the Pocket Fisherman and the Veg-O-Matic food slicer. The singular goal of an infomercial was to have the viewer phone immediately and place his or her order—no waiting weeks, months or even years for the lofty marketing goals of branding to pay off. Somewhere along the way, dentistry morphed the two concepts. Nowhere is this becoming more apparent than in the debate on the endodontic implant algorithm. “We have met the enemy ... and he is us.” (The Pogo Papers)

Scientific doctrine is the cornerstone of endodontic therapeutics. However, of late, anecdotal testimony has become the default setting for new paradigms to justify endodontic treatment modalities and an encomium to technological advances. The strength of the arch of this or any specialty’s integrity and relevance must rely on a keystone of randomised clinical trials and evidence-based treatment outcomes. Expert opinions reflected through the looking glass of business models or global tours cannot replace stringently controlled clinical assessments distilled from exacting independent investigations. Science cannot be applied through a McLuhanistic rear-view mirror of technology. The two must symbiotically occupy the same space regardless of whether that is antithetical to the Pauli Exclusion Principle, one of the most accepted laws of physics: no two objects can simultaneously occupy the same space.

In December 2004, Salehrabi and Rotstein published an epidemiological study on endodontic treatment outcomes in a large patient population. The outcomes of initial endodontic treatment by general practitioners and endodontists participating in the Delta Dental Insurance plan on 1,462,936 teeth of 1,126,288 patients from 50 states across the US were assessed in an eight-year timeline. Subsequent to the completion of treatment, Analysis of the extracted teeth revealed 85 % had no full coronal coverage. A statistically significant difference was found between covered and uncovered teeth for all tooth groups tested, which is consistent with the findings of numerous investigations.

The purpose of this publication is to evaluate current trends and perceptions pertaining to the standard of care in endodontics and provide an evidence-based consensus on their relevance and application. Part II will address the algorithm by which sacrifice of natural structures for ortho-biological replacements can be validated and the engineering principles and designs that best mimic clinical dictates.

Evolutionary paradigm shifts

Three surveys have been conducted with the membership of the American Association of Endodontists since the late 1970s. The first reflected what is now an anachronistic view of emergency procedures and the standard of care defining non-surgical therapy during that period. The second, done prior to the technological advances of the last decade of the twentieth century, was hallmarkned by a dramatic decrease in leaving pulpless teeth open in emergency situations and a significant decline in the use of culturing prior to obturation.

The report on the second survey indicated that the concept of debridement and disinfection versus cleaning and shaping was now the focus of the biological therapeutic imperative and the need for expansive microbial strategies was recognised as being of paramount importance. The primary patho-physiologic vectors of pulpal disease and the myriad complexity of the root-canal system had always been understood; as the century closed, clinicians were provided with new tools and technology to expand the boundaries and limitations of endodontic treatment procedures. Root-canal infections are polymicrobial, characterised predominantly by both facultative and obligate anaerobic bacteria. The necrotic pulp becomes a reservoir of pathogens; toxic consequences and their resultant infection are isolated from the patient’s immune response. Eventually, the microflora and their by-products will produce a peri-radicular inflammatory response. With microbial invasion of the peri-radicular tissues, an abscess and cellulitis
may develop. The resultant inflammatory response will initiate a protective and/or immuno-pathogenic effect. Additionally, it may destroy surrounding tissue, resulting in the five classic signs and symptoms of inflammation: calor, dolour, rubor, tumour and penuria. Patient evaluation and the appropriate diagnosis/treatment of the source of an infection are of utmost importance.

Patients demonstrating signs and symptoms associated with severe endodontic infection (Table I) should have the root-canal system filled with calcium hydroxide and the access sealed. In the event of copious drainage, the access can be left open for no longer than 24 hours, the tooth then isolated with rubber dam, the canals irrigated and dried and calcium hydroxide inserted into the root-canal space, and the access sealed.10

The antibiotic of choice for peri-radicular abscess remains Penicillin VK; however, recent studies have reported that amoxicillin in combination with clavulanate (1 gm loading dose with 500 mg q8h for seven days) was a more effective therapeutic regimen.11

Systemic antibiotic administration should be considered if there is a spreading infection that signals failure of local host responses in abating the dispersion of bacterial irritants, or if the patient’s medical history indicates conditions or diseases known to reduce the host defence mechanisms or expose the patient to higher systemic risks. Antibiotic treatment is generally not recommended for healthy patients with irreversible pulpitis or localised endodontic infections (Table II). Numerous studies with well-defined diagnosis and inclusion criteria have failed to demonstrate enhanced pain resolution beyond the placebo effect.12,13

The sophistication of endodontic equipment, materials and techniques has been steadily iterated and innovated since the second survey. The microscope first introduced to otolaryngology around 1950, then to neurosurgery in the 1960s, is now the standard of care for the voyage into the microcosmic world of the root-canal system. Recursions in the micro-processing technologies of electronic foraminal locators beget unprecedented accuracy levels, improved digital radiographic sensors and software-enhanced diagnostic acumen, and ultrasonic units with a variety of tips designed specifically for use when performing both non-surgical and surgical endodontic procedures minimised damage to coronal and radicular tooth structure in the effort to locate the pathways of the pulp. The treatment outcome of non-surgical root-canal therapy currently is far more predictable than at any other period in our history.

_Diagnosis_

Of all the technologic innovations embraced by endodontics, digital radiography should have generated the greatest impact; however, its value remains limited in diagnosis, treatment planning, intra-operative control and outcome assessment. Flat-field sensors still require three to four parallax images of the area of interest in order to establish better perception of depth and spatial orientation of osseous or dental pathology. These 3-D information deficits, geometric distortion and the masking of areas of interest by overlying anatomy or anatomical noise are of strategic relevance to treatment planning in general and endodontics specifically (Figs. 5a & b).14
Cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) produces up to 580 individual projection images with isotropic sub-millimetre spatial resolution enhanced by advanced image receptor sensors. It is thus ideally suited for dedicated dento-maxillofacial CT scanning. When combined with application-specific software tools, CBCT can provide a complete solution for performing specific diagnostic and surgical tasks. The images can be re-sliced at any angle, producing a new set of reconstructed orthogonal images, and studies have shown that the scans accurately reflect the volume of anatomical defects. The limited volume CBCT scanners best suited for endodontics require an effective radiation dose comparable to two or three conventional peri-apical radiographs and as such are set to revolutionise endodontics (Fig. 6).15,16

Three-dimensional pre-surgical assessment of the approximation of root apices to the inferior dental canal, mental foramen and maxillary sinus are essential to treatment planning. The ability of CBCT to diagnose and manage dento-alveolar trauma using multiplanar views, the determination of the root-canal anatomy and number of canals, the detection of the true nature and exact location of resorptive lesions and the discovery of the existence of vertical and horizontal fractures outweigh concerns about the degree of ionising radiation and the risks posed.17 Provided CBCT is used in situations in which the information from conventional imaging systems is inadequate, the benefits are essential for optimisation of the standard of care.

Patel reported that peri-apical disease can be detected sooner and more accurately using CBCT compared with traditional peri-apical views and that the true size, extent, nature and position of peri-apical and resorptive lesions can be accurately assessed.18 Using a new peri-apical index based on CBCT for identification of apical periodontitis, peri-apical lesions were identified in 39.5% and 60.9% of cases by radiography and by CBCT, respectively (p < 0.01).

Simon et al. compared the differential diagnosis of large peri-apical lesions with traditional biopsy. The results suggested CBCT might provide a faster method to differentially diagnose a solid from a fluid-filled lesion or cavity, without invasive surgery.19,20 In spite of the presence of artefacts, the learning curve related to image manipulation and the cost, CBCT will invariably be the accepted standard of diagnostic care and treatment planning in endodontics in the very near future.

**Access**

An improperly designed access cavity will hamper facilitation of optimal root-canal therapy. If the orientation, extension, angulations and depth are inaccurate, retention of the native anatomy of the root-canal space becomes precarious. The requirements of access cavity design can be achieved by conceptual and technical regression of the existing configuration to that which one would logically expect to have seen prior to the insults of restoration, function and ageing. If tertiary dentine were perceived of as 'irritational dentine' or dystrophic calcification considered 'decay', the chamber outline could be used to blueprint an inlay configuration for the access design that literally replicates the virgin tooth (Fig. 7).

Removal of the existing restoration in its entirety and/or preliminary preparation of the coronal tooth structure for the subsequent full coverage restoration will identify decay, fractures, unsupported tooth structure and expose the anatomy of the underlying...
roots
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root trunk periphery, which assists in discovery of the spatial orientation and morphology of the roots. The pulp chamber ceiling and pulp stones can be peeled away with a football diamond bur to identify grossly the primary orifices.

Micro-etching (Danville Materials) the floor of the chamber, perhaps the most underused of all access tools, is invaluable in the exposure of fusion lines and grooves in order to identify accessory orifices. Troughing with ultrasonic tips of any design is used solely to trace fusion lines, not affect gross removal. The use of ultrasonics to ‘jackhammer’ pulp stones is simply too risky as one approaches the floor of the chamber, particularly if there are no water ports on the tips. Orifice lengthening and widening enables straight-line glide path to the apical third. The strategic objective is not to impede the file, stainless-steel or NiTi rotary along the axial walls with minimal dentine removal (Figs. 8a & b).

It is equally important to produce a high-quality coronal restoration at the time of sealing the root-canal system.21,22 Despite research supporting the effectiveness of coronal barriers and the need for immediate placement as a component of the completion phase of root-canal treatment, a universally accepted protocol does not exist.

Schwartz and Fransman described a clinical strategy for coronal sealing of the endodontic access preparation that lists the following considerations in the protocol: use bonded materials (4th generation (three-step) resin adhesive systems are preferred because they provide a better bond than the adhesives that require fewer steps); the etch and rinse adhesives are preferred to self-etching adhesive systems, if a eugenol-containing sealer or temporary material is used; self-etching adhesives should not be used with self-cure or dual-cure restorative composites. When restoring access cavities, the best aesthetics and highest initial strength are obtained with an incremental fill technique using composite resin. A more efficient technique that provides acceptable aesthetics is to bulk fill with a glass ionomer material to within 2 to 3 mm of the cavo-surface margin, followed by two increments of light-cure composite and, if retention of a crown or bridge abutment is a concern after root-canal treatment, post placement increases retention to greater than the original state (Fig. 9).23

Irrigation

The complex anatomy of the root-canal space presents a daunting challenge to the clinician who must thoroughly debride and disinfect the corridors of sepsis in order to achieve a successful treatment outcome (Fig. 10). In addition, the absence of a cell-mediated defence (phagocytosis, a functional host response) in necrotic teeth means the micro-organisms residual in tubuli, cul-de-sacs and arborisations are mainly affected by the redox potential (reduction potential reflects the oxidation—reduction state of the environment—aerobic microflora can only be active at a positive $E_h$ value, whereas strict anaerobes can only be active at negative $E_h$ values) and availability of nutrients in the various parts of the root canal.24

While our knowledge of persistent bacteria, disinfecting agents and the chemical milieu of the necrotic root canal has greatly increased, more innovative basic and clinical research is required in order to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I</th>
<th>Table II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indications for adjunctive antibiotics</strong></td>
<td><strong>Conditions not requiring adjunctive antibiotics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever &gt; 100°F</td>
<td>Pain w/o signs and symptoms of infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaise</td>
<td>Symptomatic irreversible pulpsitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphadenopathy</td>
<td>Acute periradicular periodontitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trismus</td>
<td>Teeth with necrotic pulps and radiolucency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased swelling</td>
<td>Teeth with a sinus tract (chronic periradicular abscess)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulitis</td>
<td>Localised fluctuant swellings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteomyelitis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tables I & II: Derived from Baumgartner (Antibiotics and the Treatment of Endodontic Infections, Summer 2006).
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optimise the use of existing methods and materials and develop new ones to prevent and treat apical periodontitis.

Varying degrees of sterility of the root-canal space are achieved by mechanistic removal, the chemical reactivity and fluid dynamics of irrigants and their introduction to the canal space; however, the protocols used today cannot predictably provide sterile canals. As none of the elements of endodontic therapy (host defence system, systemic antibiotic therapy, instrumentation and irrigation, inter-ap- pointment medicaments, permanent root filling, and coronal restoration) can alone guarantee complete disinfection, it is of utmost importance to aim for the highest possible quality at every phase of the treatment.

In the classic study by Sjogren et al., 55 single-rooted teeth with apical periodontitis were instrumented and irrigated with sodium hypochlorite and root filled. Peri-apical healing was followed-up for five years. Complete peri-apical healing occurred in 94% of cases that yielded a negative culture. In cases in which the samples were positive prior to root filling, the success rate of treatment was just 68%—a statistically significant difference. These findings emphasise the importance of eliminating bacteria from the root-canal system prior to obturation. This objective cannot be reliably achieved in a one-visit treatment of necrotic pulps because it is not possible to eradicate all infection from the root canal without the support of an inter-appointment antimicrobial dressing.

Perhaps the most misunderstood aspect of NaOCl irrigation is the need for the quantities of irrigation required due to the morphological and anatomical variations in the volumetric size of the root-canal anatomy. Siqueira et al. demonstrated that regular exchange and use of large amounts of irrigant should maintain the antibacterial effectiveness of the NaOCl solution, compensating for the effects of concentration.

Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) is the most widely used irrigating solution. It is a potent antimicrobial agent and lubricant that effectively dissolves pulpal remnants and organic components of dentine, thus preventing packing infected hard and soft tissue into the apical confines. Hypochlorous acid (HClO) is the active moiety responsible for bacterial inactivation.
Numerous devices have appeared in the endodontic armamentarium to address this situation:

_EndoVac (Discus Dental): a negative pressure differential device designed to deliver high volumes of irrigation solution while using apical negative pressure through the office high-volume evacuation system;
_Negative Pressure Safety Irrigator (Vista Dental): device is similar to EndoVac;
_RinsEndo (Air Techniques): uses pressure suction technology; 65 ml of irrigant are automatically drawn from the attached syringe and aspirated into the canal (pressure created is lower than manual irrigation); and
_Vibringe (Bisco Canada): sonic flow technology facilitates enhanced irrigation through the myriad complexities of the root-canal system (Fig. 11).

NaOCl cannot dissolve inorganic dentine particles and thus prevent smear layer formation during instrumentation.29 Chelators, such as EDTA and citric acid, are recommended as adjuvants in root-canal therapy. It is probable that biofilms are detached with the use of chelators; however, they have little if any antibacterial activity.

Several studies have demonstrated that citric acid in concentrations ranging as high as 50% were more effective at solubilization of inorganic smear layer components and powdered dentine than EDTA. In addition, citric acid has demonstrated antibacterial effectiveness.

Technology and innovation will not negate the need for optimal preparation (debridement and disinfection) to eliminate microbial content and its impact on a necrotic root-canal system. We as a discipline need to improve; however, endodontics has shown its commitment to endless reinvention. In time, this will restructure the role of natural teeth in foundational dentistry, currently diminished by the market forces of implant-driven dentistry.

Ortho-biological replacement is not a panacea as random clinical trials increasingly show; the severity of peri-implantitis lesions demonstrates significant variability and as such no treatment modality has shown superiority. The pendulum will continue to swing as the endodontic implant algorithm becomes increasingly multivariate.

 perhaps the most significant example of negative space recovery is Michelangelo’s statuary for the funerary chamber of Pope Julius II. Four unfinished sculptures speak eloquently to this process: the figure was outlined on the front of the marble block and then Michelangelo worked steadily inwards from this side, in his own words “liberating the figure imprisoned in the marble.” This is an exacting description of debridement and instrumentation of the root-canal space prior to root filling after a myriad of pathologic vectors have destroyed the dental pulp, and altered the morphology/topography of the system (Fig. 12). Incomplete filling of the debrided and sculpted root-canal space is one of the major causes of endodontic failure.30 Until recently, _in vitro_ testing (dye leakage, fluid

Fig. 9. Micro-etching ensures the removal of oils and debris, and the elimination of the residue in fusion lines and fissures. Routine dentine bonding is then performed. The composite selected in this instance is PermaFlo Purple (Ultradent Products, Inc.), which enables differentiation of restoration and tooth structure should re-entry be necessary.

Fig. 10. There is a vast array of equipment on the market to optimise irrigation protocols. Radical change may well be in the offing; however, research and development on bio-active obturating materials may prove to be the defining variable in total asepsis.

Microstructural replication—obturation

Steven Covey is known for his book _The Seven Habits of Highly Effective People_. The habit most applicable to endodontics is the second one: “begin with the end in mind”. The implication of this vision with regard to idealising the final shape of the root-canal system in order to ensure that the obturation represents a totality is profound. The root canal is negative space and as such recovery of its original unaffected form is the _sine qua non_ of obturation or more descriptively, microstructural replication.
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transport, bacterial penetration, glucose leakage) was used to evaluate the sealing efficacy of endodontic filling materials and techniques by assessing the degree of penetration/absorbance of these tracers.31–33

Unfortunately, leakage studies are limited static models that do not simulate the conditions found in the oral cavity (temperature changes, dietary influences, salivary flow). Given the historic dominance of in vitro testing, the clinician must be cautious in extrapolating study findings to the clinical situation, regardless of manufacturer’s claims.34 This reliance on invalid testing protocols diminishes the monoblock assertions applied to the new generation of adhesive obturating materials proposed as the replacement material for gutta-percha.35

Gutta-percha was introduced to dentistry by Edwin Truman in 1847.36 The concept of thermo-labile vertical condensation of gutta-percha was originally described by Dr J. R. Blaney in 1927.37 The defining article on obturation remains Dr Schilder’s classic on filling the root-canal space in three dimensions, published 40 years later.38

Logically, one cannot physically fill the root canal in two dimensions; however, one can fill the root-canal space badly in three dimensions. This does not disprove Dr Schilder’s exposition, but it does demonstrate that words can easily be misconstrued and alter perspective once they become, as Kipling said, “the most powerful drug of mankind”. Ironically, Schilder’s article came seven years prior to his treatise on cleaning and shaping the root-canal system, which even to this day remains the iconic standard.

The Washington Study by Ingle indicated that 58 % of treatment failures were due to incomplete obturation.39 The corollary is obvious: teeth that are poorly obturated are invariably poorly debrided and disinfected. Procedural errors such as loss of working length, canal/apical transportation, perforations, loss of coronal seal and vertical root fractures have been proven to affect the integrity of the apical seal adversely.40,41

The Toronto study that evaluated success and failure of endodontic treatment at four to six years after completion of treatment found that teeth treated with a flared canal preparation and vertical condensation of thermo-labile gutta-percha had a higher success rate when compared with step-back canal preparation and lateral compaction. Highlighting the vertical condensation of warm gutta-percha obturation technique as a factor influencing success and failure simply confirmed a perspective evident to most endodontists from years of clinical empiricism.

There is a never-ending array of obturation materials, delivery systems and sealers appearing in the marketplace. Each is hallmarked by proprietary modifications and each is heralded as the most significant iteration in obturation since the previous one; today, we practice with a sad truism—marketing inexorably directs science. However, gutta-percha in combination with a myriad of sealers and solvents remains the primary endodontic obturating material. The dominant systems remain carrier-based obturation (Thermafil, Tulsa Dental Specialties), Continuous Fig. 11
Fig. 11. Numerous researchers have demonstrated that the concept of keeping the apical foramen as small as practical does not mean a size #20 or 25 file. This Schilderian concept should read as small as the apical morphology permits in order to ensure that the free flow of irrigant to the apical terminus enables more definitive cleaning of the apical segment of the root-canal space.

Fig. 12. The artist/clinician recognises that negative space surrounding an object is equally important as the object itself. In the case of root-canal therapy, the positive space is alterable but must be created in balance with the encompassing negative space to ensure morphological integrity.

Fig. 13. While there is no meta-analysis to elucidate this concern, the incidence of fracture of the mesial root of mandibular molars has been shown to have a significant correlation to cusp fracturing. Fig. 12
Fig. 13
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Wave Compaction Technique (Elements Obturation, SybronEndo) and Thermoplastic Injection (Obtura III Max, Obtura Spartan).

Resilon (RealSeal, SybronEndo), a high-performance industrial polyurethane, was developed as an alternative to gutta-percha. There are scattered studies that demonstrate that Resilon exhibits less microbial leakage and higher bond strength to root-canal dentine, reduced peri-apical inflammation and enhanced fracture resistance of endodontically treated teeth when compared with gutta-percha (Fig. 13). Other studies have reported undesirable properties associated with Resilon, including low push-out bond strength and low cohesive strength plus stiffness. In addition, Resilon did not achieve a complete hermetic apical seal. These results indicate that a more appropriate material for root-canal obturation still needs to be developed. There is still no obturation method or material that produces a leak-proof seal. A material that is bio-inductive and promotes regeneration, a smart nano-material that can adapt to the ever-changing microenvironment of the canal system is essential, but to date, remains elusive.

All polymers demonstrate melt temperature and flow rate. Both gutta-percha and Resilon demonstrate a viscoelastic gradient that manifests as a dynamic rheological birefringence in the moulded state. Dependent upon the molecular weight of the source material (without the opacifiers, waxes and modifiers), gravimetric measurements of the time-temperature-transformation diagram of any moulding compound can be constructed. In the thermoplastic world of today, this has engendered an increase in the weight of the mass of obturating material and an improvement in the bacterial seal. This applies to carrier-based obturation techniques, Continuous Wave Compaction Technique and Obtura III obturation without cone placement.

Instrumentation

The steps required for debridement and disinfection of the root-canal space are sequential and interdependent. Aberration of any node in the process affects the others, leading to iatrogenic damage and potentially, treatment outcome failure. The most common distortion of native anatomy is ledging; canal curvature exceeding 20 degrees was shown to produce ledging of mandibular molars 56% of the time in a cohort of undergraduate students. Dentine chips pushed apically by instrumentation incorporated with fragments of pulp tissue will compact into the apical third and the foraminial area causing blockage, altering the working length due to the loss of patency (Figs. 14a & b).

Apical patency is a technique in which the minor apical diameter of the canal is maintained free of debris by recapitulation with a small file through the apical foramen. The most predictable method is to use a designated patency file regularly throughout the cleaning and shaping procedure in conjunction with copious irrigation. A #0.08 K-file passively moved through the apical terminus without widening it is most effective; it will refresh the NaOCl at the terminus as the action of the file going to the point of patency produces a fluid dynamic. Regrettably, loss of working length remains a common adverse event during endodontic therapy, especially amongst less experienced clinicians. Its major cause is the formation of an apical dentine plug. Therefore, establishing apical patency is recommended even during treatment of canals with vital pulp.

Historically, numerous techniques have been advocated for canal preparation (balanced force, anti-curvature, double-flare, modified double-flare); however, step-back and crown-down are the most universally accepted. Experience has shown a crown-down preparation will cause fewer procedural errors (apical transportation, elbow formation, ledging, strip perforation, instrument fracture). The preliminary removal of coronal dentine (pre-enlargement—treating the apex last) minimises blockage and enables an increasing volume of irrigant penetration, thereby sustaining working length throughout the procedure.

The balanced-force shaping philosophy is integral to the crown-down approach. Its premise is that instruments are guided by the canal structure when rotational/anti-rotational motion (watch winding) is used. Changing the direction of rotation controls the probability that instruments will become overstressed and thus ensures that the cutting of structure occurs most efficiently. Endodontists have long appreciated what the science reported, that the balanced-force hand instrumentation technique produced a cleaner apical portion of the canal than other techniques (Fig. 15). As discussed below, I remain committed to hand filing in order to refine
apical third shaping and creating an enhanced apical control zone taper.

Two distinct phases are required for the preparation of canals with NiTi rotary files. It is essential that, no matter the protocol used, a reservoir of NaOCl be maintained and replenished repeatedly in the strategically extended access preparation. The coronal portion of the canal space is explored with small-sized K-files to establish a glide path for the rotaries to follow. The taper of NiTi files, regardless of manufacturer, induces a crown-down effect in the straight portion of the canal. After the coronal and middle third segments have been opened and repeatedly irrigated with NaOCl, a sequence of small K-files can progress apically, ultimately defining patency, confirming the topography of the accessible canal space and its degree of curvature. A second ‘wave’ with the NiTi rotaries is then used to effect deep shape, approximating the working length, and depending upon the configuration of the apical third, to enlarge the terminus to the gauged apical size and initiate the taper of the apical control zone. This is a basic concept. It is inherent in all templated protocols that each tooth is different, and modifications to the process are always necessary as a function of the tooth morphology.

The apical control zone is defined as a matrix-like region created at the terminus of the apical third of the root-canal space. The zone demonstrates an exaggerated taper from the spatial position determined by an electronic foraminal locator to be the minor apical diameter. Whether this is linear or a point determination is a function of histopathology. The enhanced taper at the terminus creates a resistance form against the condensation pressures of obturation and acts to prevent excessive extrusion of filling material during thermo-labile vertical compaction.

All NiTi systems are modelled upon a single or multiple taper ratio per millimetre of file length. Figure 16a demonstrates the metrics of the F1, F2, F3 finishing files of the ProTaper Universal System (my preference). These files demonstrate a common taper in the last 4mm of the file, which in the vast majority of situations corresponds to the length of the apical third of the root-canal space. As shown, the 0.07 taper of the F1 (0.20 tip), the 0.08 taper of the F2 (0.25 tip) and the 0.09 taper of the F3 (0.30 tip) produce the corresponding diametral dimension indicated each millimetre back from the apical terminus, if the crown-down protocol built into this multiple taper file system is adhered to. If the shape of the internal micro-morphology of the root complex were epidemiologically similar, then imprinting of the canal preparation would be logical. Unfortunately, such is not the case.

Figure 16b demonstrates that the use of hand files in the apical third can alter the preliminary shape created by the NiTi files. Hand files have a 0.02 taper (along the shaft of the file, the diameter increases by 0.02mm per mm of length—a 0.20 mm file with 16mm of flutes would be measure 0.52 mm at the coronal end of the flutes). In the example shown, a #20 file is positioned at the minor apical diameter. Careful positioning of a series of file within the last millimetre can produce a 0.2mm or 20% taper with no undue disruption of the native anatomy. Schilder’s precept for shaping was to keep the apical foramen as small as practically possible. Whatever file approximates the minor apical diameter, in conjunction with hand filing, the apical control zone created will enhance the apical seal, as the rheological vectors of compaction and condensation have a greater lateral volume of displacement at the terminus.

Fig. 14b. The volume of irrigant necessary to prevent apical blockage is indeterminate. While NiTi rotary instrumentation has minimised this to a significant degree, a slurry of dentine mud is always a risk factor to be monitored.

Fig. 15. Rheology is a science that addresses the deformation and flow of matter. The biochemistry of filling material, its viscosity gradient, the lubricating effect of sealer and optimal thermal application are only as effective as the flow characteristics of the shape created and its degree of cleanliness.
A risk-assessment algorithm

If the biological parameters that mandate endodontic success are adhered to, in almost all cases, treatment outcomes will be successful. The endodontic implant algorithm processes the array of contributing factors leading to endodontic failure, in order to determine whether to implement a re-engineered endodontic approach or to extract and replace the natural tooth with an osseo-integrated implant. It finds the greatest common divisor amongst the degree of coronal breakdown of the involved or adjacent teeth, the quality and quantity of the bone support and tissue condition, and the engineering demands to be born by the tooth or teeth in question, and assesses the occlusal scheme and the patient’s aesthetic and functional expectations of treatment.

The reasons for tooth extraction may include, but are not limited to, crown-to-root ratio, remaining root length, periodontal attachment levels, furcation status, periodontal health of teeth adjacent to the proposed fixture site and non-restorable carious destruction. In addition, the clinician must consider questionable teeth in need of endodontic treatment, teeth requiring root amputations, hemi-sections or advanced periodontal procedures with a questionable prognosis, and pulpless teeth fractured at the gingival margin with roots shorter than 13 mm. These teeth will require endodontic treatment, crown lengthening, post/cores and crowns; however, their longevity is much in doubt with these parameters.

Practitioners are ethically obligated to inform patients of all reasonable treatment options. It is the patient’s attitude, values and expectations that are integral to the risk assessment algorithm. Poor motivation to retain a tooth mandates extraction, not clinical intervention, whereas high motivation advocates non-surgical intervention or surgery. The process of planning, presentation and acceptance of dental treatment plans is always dominated by the duality of emotion and pragmatism associated with cost. Where it becomes specious is the side-by-side dollar comparison of restoring a natural tooth or placement of a fixed bridge etc. in contrast to ortho-biological replacement of a debilitated tooth. Far too often the comparison of purported treatment outcome percentages are based upon corporate affiliation and/or fiduciary bias, or are simply too narrow a parameter to suggest comparable alternatives. With the treatment options available to an experienced endodontist, only very few structurally sound teeth need be removed.

Benjamin Disraeli said: “Expediency is a law of nature. The camel is a wonderful animal, but the desert made the camel”. The endodontic implant algorithm raises the question: Does science drive the market, or does the market drive science. “All truths are easy to understand once they are discovered; the point is to discover them,” Galileo said. Time and forbearance will bear witness to the discovery of the salient and relevant truths that guide the endodontic implant algorithm._

Editorial note: Part II of this article will be published in roots 3/2010. A complete list of references is available from the publisher.
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Clinical Report: Apical Microsurgery Series

**Part IV: The REB and REP**

**Author:** Dr John J. Stropko, USA

The amount or degree of the root-end bevel (REB) is of utmost importance and should be precisely planned after considering the overall crown–root ratio, presence of posts or other obstacles, root anatomy, and periodontal status of the tooth. According to previous research, 98% of canal system ramifications occur in the apical 3mm.1 If the bevel is long (traditionally 25° to 45°), an excessive amount of root structure would have to be removed to include the apical 3mm on the palatal or lingual part of the root’s apical canal system (especially in roots with multiple canals).

If the bevel is closer to 0°, the lingual 3mm is easier to remove; thus, more root structure can be conserved, improving the crown–root ratio. With a long bevel, there is also an increased risk of completely missing some important palatal or lingual anatomy, especially if the operator is in any measure attempting to be conservative in order to preserve the crown–root ratio as far as possible (Fig. 1). The long bevel also creates a spatial problem that is generally impossible for the operator to overcome while trying to visualise the true long axis of the canal system (Fig. 2); the longer the bevel, the greater the tendency for the operator to leave more of the palatal or lingual aspect of the root intact.

As it is difficult to visualise the long axis of the tooth, the resultant retro-preparation is not as likely to be within the long axis of the canal. This concept is extremely important and the primary reason for the occasional, unintentional perforation of the retro-preparation to the lingual or palatal (Figs. 3a & b). Another important consideration—with a bevel as close to 0° as possible—is that the cavo-surface marginal dimensions of the root-end preparation (REP) will be considerably decreased. Therefore, the restoration will be easier to place and have less chance of leakage.

The root anatomy is especially important when there are more than two canals in one root. This occurs most commonly in maxillary bicuspids and in the mesial roots of nearly all molars. It has been shown that as many as 93% of the mesio-buccal (MB) roots of the maxillary first molars have a second canal (MB2).2 However, the operator has to be constantly aware that multiple canals can occur in any root, no matter which tooth is being operated on. If there is an isthmus present, it can usually be seen with the Operating Microscope (OM) if the root has been adequately bevelled and stained with methylene blue.

The refinement of the bevel is best accomplished with a surgical length 1171 carbide tapered fissure bur (Brassler) in a 45° handpiece (SybronEndo; Fig. 4). No air exists from the working end of these handpieces, which nearly eliminates the possibility of an air emphysema or air embolism beneath the flap. A standard high-speed handpiece should never be used for the above reason. On occasion, the refinement of the bevel can cause additional bleeding due to an enlargement of the crypt. The operator should address any crypt management problem due to a newly created crypt before proceeding any further. Remember that it is of utmost importance to complete one step before proceeding to the next step.

---

1. The amount or degree of the root-end bevel (REB) is of utmost importance and should be precisely planned after considering the overall crown–root ratio, presence of posts or other obstacles, root anatomy, and periodontal status of the tooth. According to previous research, 98% of canal system ramifications occur in the apical 3mm. If the bevel is long (traditionally 25° to 45°), an excessive amount of root structure would have to be removed to include the apical 3mm on the palatal or lingual part of the root’s apical canal system (especially in roots with multiple canals).

2. The root anatomy is especially important when there are more than two canals in one root. This occurs most commonly in maxillary bicuspids and in the mesial roots of nearly all molars. It has been shown that as many as 93% of the mesio-buccal (MB) roots of the maxillary first molars have a second canal (MB2). However, the operator has to be constantly aware that multiple canals can occur in any root, no matter which tooth is being operated on. If there is an isthmus present, it can usually be seen with the Operating Microscope (OM) if the root has been adequately bevelled and stained with methylene blue.
After the REB has been refined and crypt management is completely under control, the apical surface is rinsed and dried with a Stropko Irrigator. The clean and dried surface is then stained with methylene blue. It is important to allow the methylene blue to remain on the tooth for just a short period before gently rinsing and drying again, in order to enable inspection of the stained surface. Normally, a fresh, white piece of Telfa is reinserted for better lighting. If there are any fractures, isthmus tissue or accessories present, the staining will greatly enhance the operator’s ability to visualise them. Also, the methylene blue will stain the periodontal ligament and enable the operator to ensure the apex has been completely resected (Figs. 5a & b). If there is an accessory canal present, the easiest solution is usually to bevel past it and re-stain; or, on occasion, the accessory can be troughed-out, leaving the bevel as is.

When two canals are present in the same root, it is necessary to prepare for an isthmus between the two canals even if the staining did not reveal one. It has been shown that in the MB roots of the maxillary first molars with two canals, the 4 mm section displayed a partial or complete isthmus 100% of the time.2 This, combined with the finding that two canals in the same root in maxillary molars present clinically at least 93% of the time in the MB root of the maxillary first molar, lends importance to always preparing the isthmus area of the REB.3 Although staining does not always reveal the presence of an isthmus, it may lie just below the REB surface, only to be exposed during the remodelling of the surface of the bevelled root that normally takes place during the healing process (Fig. 6). The rule is to prepare an isthmus when there are two canals in one root.

The preparation of the REP is best accomplished using ultrasonics. For the most part, they are all dependable and have a good service record. There are multitudes of ultrasonic tips from which to choose. The newer diamond-coated and vented tips (ProUltra tips, DENTSPLY Tulsa Dental; KSi tips, Obtura/Spartan) are much more efficient and especially good at removing gutta-percha. Rather than the brand of the ultrasonic unit or type of tip, the most important consideration is the manner in which the instrument is used. The tendency for the new operator is to use the ultrasonic unit in the same manner (regarding pressure) as the handpiece. The secret is to start at a low power setting and use an extremely light touch. The lighter the touch, the more efficient the action of the tip will be. The correct amount of coolant is also important. If too much spray is used, visibility and cutting efficiency will both be decreased. If too little spray is used, there will be insufficient cooling and overheating, which can lead to micro-cracks.

Various left and right tips of various angles are necessary on occasion, but in most cases anterior tips will suffice. If the canal is large and/or filled with gutta-percha, a larger, coated tip can be used most efficiently. The key is to: 1) slow down; 2) be gentle; 3) use a light, brushing movement; and 4) carefully regulate the power setting of the ultrasonic unit. The power setting will vary greatly depending on the tip being used and nature of the preparation task.
The preparation of an isthmus, an uncoated, fine-pointed tip (CT-1, SybronEndo) is inserted into the ultrasonic unit and used to create a precise series of multiple 'dots' on the stained or imaginary line between the two canals. For the dot technique, the ultrasonic unit is set at a lower power setting but inactivated, the water spray is turned off, a CT-1 tip is placed exactly where desired, and the rheostat is tapped for just an instant. The process is repeated as many times as necessary until there is a series of 'dots' (Fig. 7a). Then, while the water spray is still off, the dots are gently connected to create the initial, shallow but precise tracking groove (Fig. 7b). The dot technique is of great value, especially when there is concavity present and the width of the bevelled root is very thin mesially to distally. The resultant groove serves as a definite guide for the completion of the isthmus portion of the REP. Then, with the water spray turned on and the power increased slightly, a pointed, coated tip can be used more aggressively to deepen the tracking groove. In this manner, accuracy is completely controlled and there is no chance of slipping while preparing the isthmus in a very thin root. On occasion, if the walls of the preparation become too thin, further bevelling may be necessary.

Throughout the REP process, it is important to use the Stropko Irrigator to rinse and dry the REP in order to ensure it is kept within the long axis of the canals and all debris is being removed as planned. Various sizes of micro-mirrors or an endoscope can be used to periodically inspect the preparation and confirm accuracy. A pre-cut and pre-bent 25-gauge endodontic irrigating needle (Monoject, Carson Dental) works well for this purpose. The notched end is removed by rapidly bending the end third rapidly back and forth with Howe Pliers (Magnum Ortho) until it separates.

The needle inserted into the Stropko Irrigator is then bent to a similar angle to that of the ultrasonic tip to be used for the REP (Fig. 8). Always keep in mind that cleanliness and dryness are essential for good visibility when using the OM.

The buccal aspect of the internal wall of the REP is of particular interest. Dr Richard Rubinstein was the first to point out that often this area is not debrided, owing to the angulation of the ultrasonic tip within the canal system during the REP. If there is some gutta-percha streaming up the side of the wall and the preparation is finished, the best thing is to use a small plunger and fold the gutta-percha coronally so the wall is clean once more. It is usually futile to attempt to remove that gutta-percha with an ultrasonic tip.

The ideal REP should: 1) be within the long axis of the canal system; 2) have parallel walls; 3) be at least 3 mm in depth (including the isthmus portion of the preparation); 4) be adequately extended to include any buccal or lingual variations of the canal system; 5) be clean (free of a smear layer); and 6) be dry and ready to accept any type of root-end filling material.

After completion of the REP, it should be rinsed and dried once more with the Stropko Irrigator. The REP is re-inspected, using micro-mirrors and the varying powers of the OM and/or endoscope, in order to ensure it is clean and within the long axis of the canal system. At this time, the REP is etched with blue 35% phosphoric acid gel (Ultra-Etch, Ultradent) to remove the smear layer. After 15 to 20 seconds, the REP is thoroughly rinsed and dried with the Stropko Irrigator. The REP is ready to accept any type of root-end filling material.

In the final two parts of this series, we will discuss Retrofill materials and techniques (Part V) and Sutures, suturing technique and healing (Part VI).
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There’s a new vision in dentistry that is gradually being recognised and is referred to as the endo-implant algorithm. This new approach considers the role of the endodontist as critical in considering whether a tooth can be saved or whether extraction and replacement with a dental implant is the correct treatment protocol. An endodontist is in the unique position to evaluate critical factors leading to endodontic failures in order to determine whether another endodontic procedure will lead to a predictable and successful outcome. Should the outcome not be favourable, then extraction and replacement with a dental implant would be the protocol to follow.

In considering the ideal treatment plan, it is imperative to provide the patient with all treatment options, as well as the financial cost and procedures associated with each treatment option. The patient is thus given the opportunity to make an educated decision as to the best treatment protocol for him or her. The information presented to the patient should include the endodontist’s opinion regarding which treatment option is more practical and predictable.

Case study

A patient with a non-contributory medical history was referred to my office for evaluation of the maxillary left first molar. The patient was asymptomatic, and the tooth had been endodontically treated by a general dentist approximately seven months prior to the consultation and had never been restored. Clinically, it presented extensive decay, probing depths of 3 mm all around, exposure of the obturation material to the oral cavity, and no temporary restoration. Radiographically, no peri-apical lesions were detected, and the bone levels around the tooth were adequate (Fig. 1).

In order to determine the integrity of the tooth structure, some excavation was performed using 4.5 x magnification and supplementary illumination, provided by a fibre-optic headlight, with a dental rubber dam for isolation. After the removal of some decay, a bitewing X-ray was taken (Fig. 2) and the following was determined:

a) the floor of the pulp chamber was too shallow;
b) it was too close to perforation and
c) the peri-radicular dentine was insufficiently strong to support a permanent restoration.

These critical factors, in my opinion, rendered the tooth non-restorable. A cotton pellet and Cavit were placed in the access cavity and a follow-up call with the referring dentist was conducted in order to update him on the condition of his patient and to determine what recommendations should be given regarding the tooth. It was recommended to the patient that the tooth be extracted and the socket preserved through a minor grafting procedure. This would allow for an ideal amount of bone to receive a dental implant approximately four to six months later. It was also recommended that he receive some orthodontic treatment prior to the placement of the implant.
implant so that all the diastemas would be closed and the dentition properly aligned for this procedure.

The patient clearly understood the concept and the logistics of the orthodontic treatment recommended but expressed no interest in this approach.

**The bigger picture**

It is very important in evaluating treatment using implants to consider the whole dentition and not just the space or tooth in question. It should be borne in mind that implants, unlike teeth, do not move, so if there are any misalignments in the dentition, orthodontic treatment prior to implant therapy is imperative should the patient proceed with the dental implant at a later stage. If the treatment plan is not in this sequence, the dental implant could become a challenging obstacle during the orthodontic treatment.

The patient was prescribed Amoxicillin 500mg (one every six hours, beginning two days before the next appointment) and Chlorhexidine rinses (three times a day, also beginning two days before the next appointment). The use of tartar control toothpaste was also recommended in order to avoid staining of teeth. On the day of surgery, the patient’s blood pressure was 119/73 with a heart rate of 76.

Under local anaesthetic (Lidocaine 2% HCl with epinephrine 1/50,000 x 2 cpl) and using a dental rubber dam, magnification loupes and supplementary illumination, the tooth was sectioned into three pieces. The rubber dam was removed, and using PDL-Evator elevators (Salvin) all three roots were extracted without any complications. Spoons were used to curette the socket in order to clean any granulation tissue and engage the cancellous bone. This crucial step results in some bleeding and thus promotes angiogenesis. The crest of the interradicular bone was engaged with the socket cupped part of a XIVE osteotome (DENTSPLY Friadent), and a sinus lift was performed using the Summer’s technique.

There were no signs of a sinus perforation based on the Valsalva test. The sockets and sinus-lift area were

---

**Fig. 2** Bitewing X-ray after decay had been removed.
**Fig. 3** Grafted socket following extraction.
**Fig. 4** Peri-apical film showing healing of grafting material after four months.
**Fig. 5** Pre-op film on the day of surgery.
**Fig. 6** Guide pin in osteotomy following use of 2 mm pilot drill.
**Fig. 7** Radiograph showing XIVE osteotome in place during the osteotomy.
then grafted with a mixture of DBX and MCP using a marshmallow technique. This grafting mixture helps the site produce its own bone in terms of mineral and collagen from the DBX, and it provides a better scaffold effect from the MCP. The area was covered with a PTFE membrane, slightly tucked under the periosteum (not more than 2 mm). Sutures were done with polyglycolic acid using a criss-cross four-x corner technique (Fig. 3).

Removing the sutures

The sutures were removed two weeks later. Two weeks after suture removal, the patient was seen again for the removal of the membrane. This was done by gently picking at the membrane with cotton pliers and exerting pull on it—there is often no need for anaesthesia. The benefit of using this allograft cocktail is that the waiting period for re-entry was approximately four to six months versus six to nine had a xenograft been used. The quantity and the quality of the bone appeared to be much better with the use of this allograft cocktail.

At the time of re-entry, the patient’s blood pressure was 113/69 with a heart rate of 64 (Figs. 4 & 5). Under local anaesthetic (Lidocaine 2 per cent HCl with epinephrine 1/50,000 x 2 cpl), a tissue punch access was done using a 3.8 tissue punch XIVE drill (DENTSPLY Friadent).

The pilot drill from the ANKYLOS implant system (DENTSPLY Friadent) was then used to drill 6 mm, just short of the sinus floor (Fig. 6). A series of XIVE osteotomes, from size 2.0 up to 3.4, were used to perform a sinus lift using the Sumner’s technique. The osteotomy was prepared to a depth of 11 mm (Fig. 7).

A Valsalva test was performed to ensure that the sinus had not been perforated. An ANKYLOS implant A11 (3.5 mm x 11 mm) was placed and primary stability was obtained. The density of the bone perceived as D-3 during the drilling stage, likely changed to D-2 with the use of the osteotomes. The implant-transfer mount was removed, as was the cover screw that came pre-mounted inside the implant, and a 1.5 mm sulcus former (healing abutment) was placed into the implant (Figs. 8 & 9).

This case clearly demonstrates one of the reasons that endodontists are becoming increasingly involved in implant dentistry. They are able to provide a comprehensive evaluation of the tooth in question, and they are able to present the patient with the best options based on clinical assessment._

Dr Jose M. Hoyo graduated from the University of Puerto Rico School of Dentistry in 1984. He received his Certificate of Advanced Graduate Studies in Endodontics from Boston University’s Henry M. Goldman School of Graduate Dentistry in 1994. He practices as a specialist in Endodontics and Implant dentistry in southern Massachusetts and can be contacted at drjhoyo@aol.com.
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Managing maxillary molars

Author Dr Mark Dreyer, USA

Endodontic evaluation

A 58-year-old female patient presented for endodontic evaluation and therapy in the upper left quadrant. Mild pain for several days was reported by the patient prior to the appointment. Medical history was non-contributory, and dental history was remarkable for multiple existing large amalgam restorations (Figs. 1–3). Clinical examination and diagnostic evaluation were performed for all posterior teeth on the right side, including cold testing, percussion, palpation, periodontal probing and bite challenge. Findings led to a pre-operative diagnosis of irreversible pulpitis in tooth #3 with normal peri-radicular tissues.

After anaesthesia and isolation with the rubber dam, entry was made into a calcified pulp chamber. Use of the dental operating microscope (OM) greatly enhances lighting and visibility, allowing for careful and deliberate clearing of reparative dentine, pulp stones and other potential impediments to canal orifices. It is important to stress that the files must not be taken into the canals prior to developing proper access form. In such cases, ledging and blockages can easily occur, needlessly compromising and complicating treatment. The palatal pulp tissue was calcified and extirpated in toto (Fig. 4).

Maxillary molars are notable for their complex root-canal system morphology. The mesio-buccal (MB) roots are characterised by an irregular ovoid morphology, resulting in an isthmus or fin of pulpal tissue extending palatally to the principle MB canal. This case report presents steps taken to address this anatomy in order to maximise the disinfection and debridement of the root-canal system. Failure to address this anatomic complexity may lead to persistence or recurrence of endodontic disease.
Ultrasonic tips

Ultrasonic tips were used to plane the pulpal floor and increase visibility. These instruments are available from many manufacturers in a variety of sizes and shapes designed to address specific case needs. The orifice of the MB2 canal was located towards the palatal orifice in an unusual presentation (Figs. 5 & 6). This stresses the importance of continuing to examine the pulpal floor with the OM throughout the procedure, as irrigants and instrumentation constantly alter the presentation of subtle cues and clues to orifice location.

Once the orifice location had been determined, canal negotiation and instrumentation were completed. Warm vertical compaction of gutta-percha and ZOE sealer was used in this case, demonstrating the treated canal morphology (Figs. 7 & 8). The MB2 canal was addressed as a completely separate canal.

A study that examined more than 1,700 teeth, which included more than 1,000 first molars, demonstrated the presence of the MB2 canal in 93% of these teeth. These findings are not surprising, given the morphology of the MB root in maxillary molars.

In order to better acquaint oneself with this anatomy, examine extracted teeth or consult Brown and Herbranson’s Tooth Atlas, a rich source of 3-D imagery. The final radiographs demonstrate placement of an orifice barrier, subsequent to temporisation and referral back to the restorative dentist.

A complex system

This case report has demonstrated the complex root-canal system anatomy present in maxillary molars. Use of the OM throughout a carefully executed coronal and radicular access procedure maximises the ability to disinfect and debride these teeth.

Ultrasonic instrumentation allows for the judicious removal of dentine required to prevent iatrogenic mishaps and unnecessary weakening of the tooth. Meticulous root-canal therapy lays the foundation for successful long-term retention and restorative care for patients who present with endodontic disease.

Reference

Comprehensive evaluation of previous root-canal therapy

Author_ Dr Richard E. Mounce, USA

I recently received an e-mail from a general dentist with the image of tooth #15 (Fig. 1). The e-mail read: “I have an X-ray of our son’s #15 root-canal treatment done five years ago by an endodontist. He has pain. I would like your opinion on the re-treatment?” There was no additional information.

The e-mail gives rise to several additional questions. It directly and indirectly addresses several important clinical and treatment-planning principles. These questions include:

1. Which teeth with previous root-canal treatment can and should be re-treated or have endodontic surgery, and which should be extracted?
2. If re-treatment is the best option, how should this be accomplished?
3. If surgery is the immediate best option, is it also the best long-term option?
4. What clinical and radiographic features of the root canal pictured are needed to decide the answers to Questions 2 and 3 above?
5. What additional subjective and objective information is needed to address fully the question asked by the clinician in the e-mail?

This article was written to answer these questions in a clinically relevant manner, addressing the needed treatment-planning concerns and strategies for clinical management.

There is vital information that has a direct bearing on the management of this case that is not provided. For example, it would be helpful to know the reason this tooth was not restored after the root canal. The answer is unknown. Valid questions include whether the patient may have had significant pain after the procedure that led to the delay in coronal restoration. Is the patient non-compliant? Did the patient move and neglect the coronal restoration for that reason? Is there another possible reason for failure besides coronal leakage? Could another tooth be involved? These questions (and a host of others) have implications for clinical management. These include knowing whether the patient will follow up with the restorative recommendations of the general dentist if this tooth is re-treated. As an aside, if the patient is non-compliant, given all of the other considerations, extraction is indicated. It is wholly unproductive to retreat the tooth to later find out that the patient did not have the tooth restored a second time.

It would also be ideal to have more digital radiographs from different angles and ideally, a Cone-beam Computed Tomography scan of the tooth to determine whether there is a vertical root fracture and/or possibly a perforation. It is reckless to make judgments about clinical situations without a comprehensive understanding of the situation from multiple radiographic angles and without correlating the clinical examination with the symptoms. A correct diagnosis involves blending the findings with regard to percussion, palpation, mobility, probing and radiographic interpretation with the subjective examination in order...
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To determine a diagnosis, such diligence can ensure that should treatment be undertaken, the patient would understand the procedure, alternatives and risks, and have his questions answered in a way that gives him a realistic expectation of probable success or failure. Based on this standard, it is not possible to judge the treatment as a failure and make decisions based on this one image without a clinical history and subjective and objective examination.

The above notwithstanding, the provided image yields significant information. The radiographic interpretation of this film demonstrates the following:

1. There is no coronal seal. In the endodontic literature, coronal leakage is highly correlated with failure of root-canal treatment. The tooth has not been crowned nor has the pulp chamber been restored. This radiographic appearance is diagnostic of coronal leakage. If accessed, the canals would almost certainly show overt evidence of such leakage, manifested as odour, discoloured gutta-percha, moisture and possible purulence, amongst other signs. Microbiologically, it is virtually certain that evidence of bacterial biofilm would be located alongside the existing gutta-percha in fins, cul de sacs and other inaccessible areas of the root-canal space.

2. There is a lack of continuity in the preparation and obturation in the taper from the crown to the apex of all three roots. The coronal halves of the disto-buccal (DB), mesio-buccal (MB) and palatal canals have greater taper than the apical halves do. It appears that the prepared shape in the coronal halves was made with Gates Glidden drills.

A more predictable canal shape could have been prepared using an instrument like the Twisted File (TF; SybronEndo). For this particular tooth, TF would have prepared the palatal canal in approximately two to three insertions to a 0.10/25 after the creation of a glide path. The MB and DB canals (and MB2 if present) could also have been prepared to a 0.08/25 in three to four insertions after the creation of a glide path. While a comprehensive discussion of TF is beyond the scope of this article, using TF in this clinical case would have provided an optimal taper with relatively few insertions and preserved root structure. It would also have minimised the possibility of vertical root fracture and strip perforation. The degree of dentine removal at the distal aspect of the MB root and the mesial aspect of the DB root indicates that the remaining root wall is very thin. While it does not appear that a strip perforation has occurred, the radiographic information at hand is limited and it is not possible to determine whether there is a perforation. Such excessive dentine removal is correlated with long-term risk of vertical fracture.

3. There is a radiographic lesion at the apex of the palatal root. It is unknown from this one radiographic view whether additional lesions are present at the apex of the MB and the DB roots.

4. There are obturation voids in the palatal and MB root. The root-canal spaces have not been filled three-dimensionally. Such voids in obturation (aside from a lack of coronal seal) would give rise to questions about the quality of the cleaning and shaping.

5. Although not based on an empirical radiographic observation, the working length of the cleaning, shaping and obturation appears to be appropriate as does the master apical diameter, but this may have little to do with the clinical reality, ideal true working length and/or master apical diameter.

Clinical Considerations

Clinically, that the patient has pain—assuming that #15 is the offending tooth—would demand treatment. Treatment options include extraction, root resection and root filling, or re-treatment. Part of the missing clinical history is a confirmation that #15 is the offending tooth, but it may not be. As mentioned, it is imperative that the patient have percussion, palpation, mobility and probing determined for teeth #14 and #15 (amongst other teeth) in order to reproduce the patient’s symptoms. Clinically, this means that if there is pain (for example, in reaction to chewing in the upper left), tooth #15 would be expected...
case report _ failed root-canal treatment

(based on the radiographic appearance) to be sensitive to percussion and tooth #14 would be within normal limits. In essence, before a determination of a failed root canal on tooth #15 could be made, symptoms arising from tooth #14 would have to be ruled out. Testing tooth #14 with regard to percussion, palpation, mobility and probing, as well as performing a cold test could alert the clinician to any symptoms arising from tooth #14.

In essence, the clinician must reproduce the patient’s chief complaint to assure him that the clinician has the correct tooth before making a diagnosis. Knowing whether the patient has pain in reaction to hot or cold would be a vital piece of information. Unfortunately, this information has not been given to us. If the patient’s chief complaint is a sharp, lingering pain to hot or cold, it is most likely that a vital tooth is the offender and not pain from a failed root canal. Knowing also whether the pain was localised to tooth #15 would be valuable. Localised pain to tooth #15 that is reproduced by a positive percussion test would go a long way towards confirming the diagnosis.

**Clinical management**

While limited to one radiographic view, given what appears to be coronal leakage as the primary source of failure, re-treatment would be the most practical, efficient and economical solution. The tooth appears to have adequate bone support. With a lack of coronal seal, assuming that a proper pre-operative radiographic and clinical examination did not suggest another diagnosis or treatment modality, re-treatment is favoured. Clinically, re-treatment would require that unnecessary dentine removal be avoided in order to minimise the risk of strip perforation. Aggressively removing the existing gutta-percha could easily cause strip perforation and/or remove excessive dentine, and as a result lead to long-term vertical root fracture. Using a heat source such as the Elements Obturation Unit (SybronEndo) as a first line of gutta-percha removal would minimise the risk of unnecessary dentine removal and provide a passive means to eliminate the obturation before solvents and/or mechanical means are used. While not directly related to re-treatment, this case is a strong argument for the use of bonded obturation. Relative to gutta-percha, in vitro and in vivo bonded obturation has been shown to either decrease the movement of bacteria in a coronal to apical direction and/or reduce apical inflammation and infection that results from a loss of coronal seal. In this clinical case, it could be argued that if the obturation had been bonded that it could have provided some additional defence against the evident loss of coronal seal. RealSeal (SybronEndo) master cones and/or RealSeal 1 Bonded Obturator (SybronEndo) would both have been excellent choices to provide this bonded obtration clinically.

Finally, apical surgery is contra-indicated in this case for several reasons:

1. The crown-to-root ratio is unfavourable. Removing several millimetres of the apex of each root would make a short tooth (#15) even shorter and risk long-term vertical fracture.
2. The endodontic literature states that endodontic surgery is more successful in the short term than the long term. One of the reasons for this is due to coronal leakage, as evident here. Removing the apices and placing an apical filling might heal in the short term, but the long-term assault by coronal leakage would remain unabated, reducing the probabilities of clinical success.
3. The tooth should be re-treated first (if it is to be retained) and if necessary, apical surgery would be one option for the long term, amongst others.

A clinically relevant look at a failed root canal with regard to treatment planning and several clinical considerations has been presented. Emphasis has been placed on a comprehensive examination that combines both the subjective and objective findings in order to determine the correct clinical diagnosis and the most predictable treatment alternatives. I welcome your feedback.
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When you are competing against giants in a field that has become tremendously lucrative for these giants, you could easily feel out of your depth and should beat a hasty retreat, lest you encounter their true wrath. It is undeniable that we are exposed to the all-encompassing marketing power of these giants. We recognise their ability to dominate endodontic education in the schools (both undergraduate and graduate), commercial exhibits and lectures at all the major meetings, and the majority of the articles published in trade journals, all nicely capped off with an army of salespeople who make sophisticated pitches to dentists to buy one or another of the expensive rotary NiTi systems. We, however, have one over-riding advantage: we work with the simple but powerful idea that we have a safer, far more cost-effective and efficient way to perform endodontics that is so strongly based in commonsense that for those who expose themselves to these alternative concepts the acceptance rate will be extremely high. We don't have to see many people to convince a small portion that they should adopt our approach, as the logic and application of our approach is readily accepted by a large percentage of the far fewer dentists we come in contact with.

We have no other choice than to think this way, and it has done very well for us these past several years. We created an endodontic system that meets our needs because the products that had been heavily promoted in the marketplace did not. It is an absolute essential that the instruments not break. For us, there is no compromise on this point. We don't want to know they won't break if we only use them once, use them lightly with several iterations of recapitulation, not use them in highly curved, dilacerated, bifurcated or merged canals.

We want to know that these instruments used in the recommended fashion will not break—period. This was not a requirement before the use of rotary NiTi because while breakage occasionally occurred, it was a rarity. Once I had used rotary NiTi, I appreciated the greater tapered shaping, but this advantage did not outweigh the trepidation I had when using them. We came up with a system that incorporated the shaping associated with NiTi—but without breakage as a worrying side effect. I clearly remember presenting a lecture at a fairly large dental meeting to introduce our concepts. After the lecture, one of the dentists I was friendly with asked a younger dentist if he was interested in the system. The dentist responded that he wasn't interested in what I was talking about because it could not possibly be true. If it were, everyone would be using it.

The dentist's response was an insight into human nature. What I gathered from this remark is:

1. If it sounds like it is too good to be true, then it is most likely not true. Having come to this conclusion, there is little follow-up to see if by chance it actually is true. It is more comforting to dismiss it. This reaction is even more likely if a dentist's attention for new endodontic systems has already been captured by one of the expensive systems offered by the major manufacturers.

Against my single endodontic lecture, the dentists attending the lecture had seen and heard about rotary NiTi systems at other lectures, in articles and testimonials, all by well-known opinion leaders and the ever-present salespeople who convince clinicians to buy their latest system. It is difficult not to give credence to a flow of information that appears to be coming from diverse sources, but in reality is being centrally choreographed.

2. Once an expensive system has been paid for, it is only natural the dentists would wish to defend their decisions, that they made the right choices to make their efforts better and more productive. For many dentists, increased usage familiarises them with the rotary systems' limitations and they thus begin to take the precautions that are necessary for safe usage. It is an unusual phenomenon of rotary NiTi that the more a dentist learns how to use these instruments, the more selectively they are employed. Case selection becomes an important part of treatment.

Case selection has become increasingly necessary simply because there are many situations that can lead to instrument separation. I cannot think of another innovation in dentistry for which the more a dentist
learns how to use the instruments, the less they are used. Now depending upon your point of view, you could consider the selective use of these instruments as a study in sophistication, that only the best and most trained dentists will use them safely and this is somehow a plus. Learning to use a system that is defined by a narrow window of success may appear to be a study in professional growth. I consider it as just the opposite: the need to expend a great deal of energy in mastering a system that will produce results that are (at best) no better than those attained by simpler, far less expensive and far safer approaches.

I teach many dentists, and when a dentist comes to me to learn I always ask him or her what he or she is presently using. The other night I was teaching a dentist from Virginia who came to our NYC endodontic office. She had been using the GT rotary NiTi system. I asked her if she is concerned about separation and she said she’d only broke one instrument in the past few years.

Despite that single incidence of breakage, she now takes extreme precautions not to separate an instrument. Then asked her how she handles curved canals and she said if they are mildly curved, she would use the GTs in a double sequence (otherwise known as recapitulation) after shaping the canals up to a #15 or 20 using K-files. If the canals are more than mildly curved, she would send the patient to the specialist.

As an endodontist, I have no problem in having patients sent to me, but from an academic point of view, I don’t like systems whose vulnerabilities are so obvious they force a dentist to refer. It should not be the vulnerability of the system that determines a referral. A good reason to refer is the referring dentist not being able to negotiate calcified canals, or failing at finding them. Fear of instrument breakage should not be a reason.

Ironically, these referrals are made because of the fear of breakage of rotary NiTi. However, the endodontist has the same concerns, and he or she will use alternative means to shape the curved part of the canal at least up to the point at which the concern for instrument breakage has passed. Certainly, if the specialist attains safer results using a more cautious approach, the dentist could also adopt this approach. We teach a far safer approach and those using it find the shaping of canals not only safer, but also so highly efficient that there is never any need to transition to rotary NiTi.

I have read a number of articles about the less distorted shaping that rotary NiTi produces, but these results are always in comparison to the use of K-files used with a twist-and-pull motion. When K-files are used with a balanced force technique, the results of non-distorted shaping favour the K-files. The results will favour stainless-steel instruments even more if the instrument is a relieved reamer rather than the traditional K-file and it is negotiated to the apex using a tight watch-winding motion or used in the 30-degree reciprocating handpiece. With the understanding that both the tight manual watch-winding motion and the 30-degree reciprocating handpiece virtually eliminate all the torsional stress and cyclic fatigue that causes rotary NiTi (and for that matter, stainless steel) to break, we address the basic concerns of dentists.

Solving these basic problems gives dentists the ability to become more productive by turning out superior work on a greater variety of cases than they would have attempted in the past. Extending the dentists’ skills by the invention of systems that produce compatibility between the metal and what is asked of it, is what progress is all about—despite being a David, it is worth battling the Goliaths. (I suppose you have to be a dentist to appreciate this last remark.)

For those who are interested in learning about the relieved reamers used in the reciprocating handpiece and learning how to fill canals three-dimensionally without the need for expensive thermoplastic approaches, call me at +1 212 582 8161 for a free two- to three-hour one-on-one workshop in our office. This hands-on experience will definitely open your eyes to a far safer, far less expensive and more efficient way to perform excellent endodontics. Information on additional courses can be found at www.essentialseminars.org.

Your wallet and your stomach lining will appreciate the change.

Fig. 1 Undistorted shaping even in long, curved roots.
Fig. 2 Canals with curves greater than 90° can be shaped without concern for instrument separation.
Determining working length, or how to locate the apical terminus (Part 2)

Authors: Prof Vladimir Ivanovic & Dr Katarina Beljic-Ivanovic, Serbia

We would like to start the second part of our article with a quotation: “Adequate radiographs, knowledge of anatomy, and tactile sense and not apex locators will help to determine apical constriction.” We found this statement provocative enough to give electronic locators a chance.

As is well known, apex locators actually locate the foramen and not the root apex. As the foramen is usually not on the root apex, the term electronic foramen locators (EFLs) is more accurate. Electronic root-canal length measuring devices or similar descriptive terms are also incorrect, since the root-canal length does not appear on a display, particularly not in some standardised units.

EFLs are usually classified into different generations. The following classification of EFLs based on their functional properties is useful for dentists who, besides desiring the latest and best models, would like to know how a particular device works and why it is better than another model:

1. resistance-based devices (Generation I)
2. low frequency based devices (Generation II)
3. high frequency (capacitance) based devices (Generation II)
4. capacitance and resistance based devices (Generation IV)
5. voltage gradient devices
6. two frequency (impedance difference) based devices (Generation III)
7. two frequency (impedance ratio quotient) based devices (Generation III)
8. multi frequency based devices (Generation III).

The authors of this classification give perhaps the most appropriate comment: “The use of Generation X to describe and classify these devices is unhelpful, unscientific and perhaps best suited to marketing issues.”5 Marketing firms and manufacturers often cooperate to increase turnover, which is why, in some cases, you can find two identical devices that are sold under different brand names (Figs. 1a & b).

In vitro studies

A remarkable number of studies have been conducted in the last 20 to 30 years on the use of EFLs. A majority were conducted in vitro, with all research conditions and variables controlled and standardised. We believe it would be useful to point out a number of variables that influence the accuracy of EFLs in in vitro studies.

Embedding media simulate periodontal ligaments through their physical and electrical properties and thus could affect the results if those media vary from the natural tissue. Electrical properties of intra-canal solution, particularly with extremes of electro-conductivity and ion concentration, can significantly influence the EFLs’ accuracy. The discrepancies vary amongst the different EFL models.
File size with respect to the diameter of the apical constriction and foramen can also affect precision. Since the measurements are repeated a number of times on the same tooth, it is wise to use smooth canal instruments (for example, small size finger spreader for lateral condensation) that cause less damage to the fine apical structures than endodontic files.

The EFL model used can also affect the results. The majority of studies conducted on this have confirmed that with newer and improved models, higher precision and more consistent results can be obtained.

Pre-flaring of the coronal third of the root canal improves determination of the apical diameter. The first file that binds at the apical constriction, stabilises and increases the precision of the readings in any type of EFL. The range of tolerance, which varies from approximately 0.1 to 0.5 mm, and sometimes 2 mm, significantly affects the EFLs' accuracy; the wider the range is, the higher the percentage of EFL precision is.

From a number of articles, we concluded that the method and the apical landmark selected to determine the real or actual length of the tooth also significantly influences the results. The apical end-points selected varied greatly, from the anatomical apex to the anatomical foramen, and in some cases to the cemento-dentinal junction. Additionally, only vague explanations for the method and obtained results were offered at times, making the results incomparable.

It is generally believed that in vitro studies offer valuable and useful facts and results for the clinical practice. However, most of the currently available studies are on single-rooted or single-canal teeth. Many of these studies also have too many variables, resulting in confusion rather than leaving the reader with clear and appropriate conclusions.

Owing to the apparent lack of precise and reliable information, Prof Joshua Moshonov (Hadassah University, Jerusalem) and a team of researchers from MedicNRG, which we accompanied at a later stage, tested several of the newer EFL models, namely ProPex I (DENTSPLY Maillefer), Dentaport ZX (J. Morita), Raypex 5 (VDW) and ApexPointer+ (MICRO-MEGA).
One of the first questions the study aimed to address was: are differences between real values of distances from the file tip to the referent point and those shown on a EFL display clinically significant?

Using a high-tech electronic micrometer, with measuring precision at 0.1µm, the distances from the file tip to the reference point (anatomical foramen) were measured. We tested and gained almost identical results for all four EFLs.

The findings of this study can be summarised thus:

a) Figures or marks on the display of the EFL scales do not represent strict values in mm.
b) The difference between the real values and those on the display is smaller than 0.5mm (Figs. 2a & b), and thus may be considered clinically insignificant, owing to our manual inability to distinguish such short movements of the canal instrument.
c) We may tolerate small differences between real values on a high-tech measuring instrument and those on the display of the EFLs because they are not even detectable by the hand of a practitioner, since they are approximately 300 µm and smaller and are therefore acceptable in clinical work (Figs. 3 & 4).

While taking measurements, we sometimes noticed a slight bouncing on the scale of the EFL, even when the file tip was not moving in the root canal. This was due to a slight mixing of electrolytes and changes in ion concentrations between the embedded media outside and solution inside the root canal at the level of the apical foramen. Therefore, in clinical use waiting for three to four seconds for a stable reading is recommended.

Following this study, Prof Moshonov wished to address a more detailed and profound question: to what extent do the readings on a display correspond to the real values on a high-tech measuring instrument? In addressing this question, he examined two models of mini-EFLs: the MedicNRG-XFR and the MedicNRG-Blue.

The MedicNRG-XFR displayed extra fine resolution with very small values of distortion from the real measurements—only 12 to 38µm in instances in which the EFL indicated 0.25 mm from the mark ‘apex’ (Fig. 5a) and 22 to 65µm at the 0.5mm mark from the ‘apex’ on a EFL display (Fig. 5b).

The MedicNRG-Blue enables users to connect to their PC via a Bluetooth connection. Prof Moshonov compared the value on the EFL itself with a scheme on the screen of a PC. He found that there was no
The difference between real values and the PC screen values when the EFL indicated ‘past apex’ and ‘apex’ (Fig. 6a). When the MedicNRG-Blue indicated 0.3 mm to 1.4 mm from the apex (Fig. 6b), the differences ranged from 110 to 158µm, respectively. These figures coincide with the values of the XFR model, revealing that both EFLs had high precision and a very high level of resolution. Differences are far below the acceptable 0.5 mm and therefore have no relevant influence on clinical work. Prof Moshonov’s tests also confirmed that the closer the measuring file tip is to the apex, the more precise the readings are and the higher the resolution of the EFL is (Fig. 6a). When the tip of the measuring file is a bit farther from the apical foramen, the readings coincide slightly less with the real distances (Fig. 6b).

While testing the Raypex 5, we asked ourselves a question that every practitioner might ask: can we trust the values indicated on the EFL display and can we rely on the manufacturer’s instructions? The measuring device clearly showed values of 0.5 mm and 0.8 mm (Fig. 7), proving that the EFL very precisely indicates the position of the file tip with respect to anatomical details, since the distance from the foramen to constriction is 0.5 to 1.0 mm. We recommend that practitioners follow what the display indicates as well as the manufacturer’s instructions, but reconsider unusual or strange readings.

The last test conducted in our laboratories aimed to determine whether different EFLs display the same values for the same distance in the same root canal. The tip of the finger spreader #15 was introduced into the canal until it reached the plastic plate barrier firmly placed at the plane of the anatomical foramen (Fig. 8). The tooth was normally mounted and each of the EFLs immediately indicated that the tip was beyond the foramen. Presumably, this was due to the gelatine embedding medium inside the external portion of the cemental cone of the apical foramen; thus all EFLs indicated the same: contact with artificial periodontal ligament.

For all EFLs, the measuring device was adjusted to 0.001 mm (0.1µm) at this stage. With the micrometer screw, the canal instrument was retreated until the display of the EFL indicated that the tip was no longer beyond but exactly at the foramen: apex reading (ApexPointer+, MedicNRG-XFR, Dentaport ZX), 0.0 reading (ProPex I) and red square segment (Raypex 5; specific marks on each EFL). At this stage, we had already received a definite answer to our question: the different EFLs do not indicate the same values for the same distance in the same root canal.

After recording this value, the canal instrument was withdrawn further with the micrometer screw until the mark on the display of each EFL indicated that the tip had been moved and switched from the apical foramen to the first next mark/segment.
The recorded values are reviewed in Table 1. The first group of values indicates the moment at which the position of the tip of the finger spreader switched from beyond the apex to the mark apex, 0.0 or red segment (all indicating anatomical or major foramen). These variations are likely due to fine variations in the position of the tip of the finger spreader, since positioning of the plastic plate could not have been identical in each case. The second group of values indicates the moment at which the reading switched from the mark indicating the anatomical foramen to the first mark coronal to this one: 0.1 (ProPex I and ApexPointer+), 0.25 (MedicNRG-XFR), lowest green line (Dentaport ZX) and lowest yellow square (Raypex 5). The red figures in the last column indicate the EFLs’ precision in measuring the same distance, in other words, the level of resolution. The blue figures are from previous tests. Together they present the EFLs’ ability to make fine distinctions.

Therefore, the answer to our question is that different EFLs show different values with different levels of resolution for the same distance in the same root canal. However, and fortunately, all deviations are far below the range of clinically acceptable tolerance of approximately 0.5 mm (about 0.3 mm and less), and therefore do not significantly influence the precision and accuracy of EFLs in locating anatomical foramen.

**In vivo studies**

In vivo studies are generally conducted on extracted teeth, offering much more realistic, relevant, reliable, and thus useful data for practitioners. There are several factors that can affect the readings and, consequently, the results achieved in clinical conditions. These factors are:

1. status of pulp tissue (vital, necrotic, infected, etc.);
2. pre-flaring of the coronal and/or middle third of the canal;
3. status of the diameter of the minor and major foramen (preserved in its natural dimension or deviated by pathological resorption or instrumentation);
4. size of measuring file;
5. file material;
6. canal content (empty and dry, or inflamed pulp tissue, pus, necrotic tissue and bacterial detritus, etc.);
7. electroconductive properties and ion concentration of irrigating solution used; and
8. type of tooth (anterior, posterior, single, multi-rooted, etc.).

A number of studies have confirmed that some factors facilitate more consistent, straight forward, faster and precise readings. These beneficial factors are:

1. pre-flaring of the coronal and middle portion of the root canal;
2. removing of the pulp tissue and debris from the canal;
3. foramen not enlarged by instrumentation or peri-apical pathosis;
4. size of the measuring file coincides with the lumen of the apical portion of the canal; and
5. application of moderately conductive irrigating solutions such as 2% NaOCl, chlorhexidine, or EDTA solution.

The type of the tooth and file material have been proven not to affect the readings and accuracy of EFLs.
Contradictory and controversial results and statements about certain factors that influence the accuracy of EFLs when tested in vivo (both with statistical and/or clinical significance) still exist, particularly with regard to vital and necrotic cases. Reports vary from higher precision in teeth with vital pulp to higher precision in cases with necrotic/infected pulp or even no difference at all. Whether EFLs demonstrate better results in moist or dry canals is yet another controversial issue. Here, the type of EFL used is most often the determining factor. The same can be concluded for the type of irrigant used, considering its conductivity and ion concentration.

The following factors adversely affect the accuracy of all tested EFLs:

1. presence of peri-apical lesions associated with periodontal ligament and bone destruction that have destroyed both the anatomical foramen and apical constriction;
2. wide-open apical foramen in immature teeth; and
3. extremes in conductive properties of the irrigating solution in the canal, such as saline versus distilled water.

According to the literature, the precision of EFLs tested in clinical conditions varies between 15 and 100%. Evidently, additional factors or variables that influence clinical results must exist. In general, measurements of an extracted tooth with a revealed apical segment of the root canal taken using a microscope and software programmes are more precise compared to measurements taken using radiographs of a tooth under clinical conditions. Furthermore, the range of tolerance or targeted interval from approximately 0.5 to 1.0 and 1.5 mm significantly affects accuracy; the higher the value of tolerance is, the higher the percentage of precision is.

The display mark selected to be the apical terminus for measuring the electronic working length may influence clinical results of the accuracy of EFLs. In reality, each operator will select his/her preferred display mark and therefore select his/her personal apical terminus.

The anatomical landmark selected to measure the distance from the file tip also varies and can significantly affect the results. The cemento-dentinal junction and the apical constriction are not reliable reference points. However, the apical anatomical foramen and, even more so, the anatomical apex are well defined and easy to distinguish, even without magnification.

The type of EFL used also influences the results. Generally, the more sophisticated and newer the model is, the more accurate the measurements will be. Manufacturers constantly strive to improve their models in order to make our work easier and more precise. However, all instruments are handled and results interpreted by practitioners, which leaves room for random and unforeseeable errors.

In the early 1980s, we conducted a number of studies at the Department of Restorative Odontology and Endodontics in the School of Dentistry at Belgrade University using two EFLs—DIAPLEX (DiaDent) and Odontometer (Goof). The results achieved with Odontometer demonstrated 77% precision in locating the apical constriction, checked by the same radiographic criteria as explained before. Significantly less overestimation was found than with the tactile sense and the radiographic method. Similar results were achieved with the Foramatron (Parkell, Inc.) several years later, with measurement deviations of up to -1.0 mm.

Traditionally, the accuracy of EFLs has been corroborated with radiographs, but any correction of the file position according to radiographic projections would invariably lead to overextension.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electronic Foramen Locator</th>
<th>From – to (in μm)</th>
<th>Range (in μm; resolution/subtlety)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raypex 5</td>
<td>0 – 508 – 701</td>
<td>193 (300)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProPex I</td>
<td>0 – 354 – 705</td>
<td>351 (340)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MedicNRG-XFR</td>
<td>0 – 305 – 380</td>
<td>75 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentaport ZX</td>
<td>0 – 367 – 674</td>
<td>307 (350)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApexPointer+</td>
<td>0 – 143 – 312</td>
<td>169 (202)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electronic Foramen Locator</th>
<th>Mean (+/- SD)</th>
<th>Beyond AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MedicNRG-XFR</td>
<td>0.148 (0.079)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentaport ZX</td>
<td>165 (0.222)</td>
<td>2; +0.076 +0.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProPex I</td>
<td>0.169 (0.149)</td>
<td>9; +0.226 (0.102)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raypex 5</td>
<td>0.187 (0.142)</td>
<td>3; +0.119 +0.208 +0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApexPointer+</td>
<td>0.189 (0.168)</td>
<td>1; +0.129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In 2006, our team conducted the Belgrade *in vivo* studies—which later became an *in vitro* study—in molars and multi-rooted premolars using a strict protocol that was reviewed by Dr Julian Webber, Prof Moshonov and Prof Paul Dummer. The above-mentioned EFL models were tested once again.

We selected a mark on a EFL to be the apical foramen (0.0, apex or red segment). The reference point for measuring the distance from the file tip under the stereomicroscope was the point of crossing the tangential line to the anatomical foramen and extended line of the canal instrument (L1; Fig. 9). The results are presented in Table 2.

None of the mean distances exceeded 0.2 mm. Therefore, it may be concluded that the new generation EFLs were precise in locating the apical foramen within values far below the recognised clinical tolerance of approximately 0.5 mm.

Standard deviations for Dentaport ZX, ApexPointer+, ProPex I and Raypex 5 indicated high dispersion of values (standard deviation above 30%). Standard deviation for the NRG XFR was very low (far below 30%), indicating consistent measurements and a high level of resolution.

Furthermore, NRG XFR never gave overestimations compared to the other EFLs. ApexPointer+, Dentaport ZX and Raypex 5 showed only 1, 2 and 3 overestimations, respectively. ProPex I showed more overestimations than the other four devices (about 1/3 of all measurements).

However, those values were only 0.2 mm and less and thus clinically acceptable. It could be recommended, that after establishing the location of the apical foramen as the most reliable landmark with EFLs, the instrument be withdrawn to either the shorter reading or the mark that specifically indicates the physiological foramen or the apical constriction according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Also, practitioners may retreat just 0.5 mm, or even 1.0 mm, short of the apical foramen mark. In short: “When the apical foramen is located, the position of the apical constriction—if it exists—can be estimated.”

From our findings, we recommend that the practitioner, above everything, always have a preoperative radiograph handy and stay within the confines of the root canal. Practitioners should trust in EFL but not blindly.
In conclusion, we would like to present three clinical cases. The case shown in Figure 10 presented with necrotic pulp with no peri-apical pathosis and was treated based on the information gained from a single diagnostic film. Working length was determined only by using an EFL. The post-operative radiograph with all four canals obturated demonstrates the successful treatment outcome.

The case presented in Figures 11a to c was completed in accordance with regular endodontic procedure. The result brought us to the following conclusion: the combination and comparison of several methods to locate the apical terminus and determine working length always gives the practitioner more confidence, accuracy and success than using only one or none.

Predictable, reliable and successful endodontics were performed in teeth #36 and 46 of the same patient (Fig. 12). We are pleased to present this case here but are aware that many practitioners devoted to endodontics could achieve similar, if not better, results. Our goal is to motivate others to achieve even better results and to seek to give patients the best of treatment.

Editorial note: Figure 3b was erroneously included in Part I of this article, published in roots 4/2009. The corrected PDF version of the article, as well as a complete list of references, can be obtained from the publisher.

---

**Case studies**

---

**Prof Vladimir Ivanovic** graduated from the Faculty of Dentistry at the University of Belgrade in 1976. He obtained a M.Dent.Sc and Ph.D. with specialisation in Oral and Dental Pathology and Endodontology. He was appointed Professor in Restorative Odontology and Endodontics in 1998 at the Faculty of Dental Medicine and served as a Vice-Dean for postgraduate and undergraduate studies. He has also chaired the School Board for Dental Pathology.

Prof Ivanovic conducts research at the University of Belgrade and Edinburgh Dental Institute. His main interests are maintaining vital pulp, resin-based composites and adhesive systems, and endodontology. He has attended numerous international endodontic seminars and courses to further his knowledge and skills. He has delivered over 100 lectures both nationally and internationally, published over sixty articles in national and international journals, and chapters in four dental textbooks.

He is founder and President of the Serbian Endodontic Society and has been a member of the ESE since 1989. He is also country representative for the ESE General Assembly, member of the International Association for Dental Research/Continental European Division and school representative for the Association for Dental Education in Europe. He has organised over a dozen endodontic meetings in Belgrade with internationally recognised speakers. Prof Ivanovic can be contacted at vladaivanovic@hotmail.com.
File selection: Why geometry matters most

Shortly after the excitement of the rotary file revolution wore off, the next frontier in shaping technology became the search for faster cutting efficiency. This is logically similar to our continuing search for increasingly faster computers. However, experienced clinicians started seeing overfills from transportation, shortened canals, apical ripped canal termini, over-shaped coronal regions and cyclic fatigue failures that hadn’t occurred with their safer, slower files. The first-order question in file selection became: safe or fast? Landed-blade instruments with radiused-tip geometry were much safer, in terms of avoidance of transportation, but non-landed blades with aggressive cutting tips were faster cutting.

The advent of GTX Files with M-Wire has eliminated the difficult decision between safety and speed. They are the first rotary shaping instruments that deliver speed of cutting with safety from transportation and breakage (Fig. 1).

M-Wire, a new rhombohedral-phase NiTi metal used in GTX Files, has radically improved the files’ resistance to cyclic fatigue. While R-phase (the sweet spot between austenite-phase and martensite-phase NiTi) will become the new industry standard for addressing cyclic fatigue, it will never solve the problem of dangerous file geometries.

The radial lands on GTX Files have been optimised by varying the width of these lands along the length of the file. This geometrical change vastly improves cutting efficiency without derangement of the canal path, a claim that no file set without lands can make (Fig. 2). Furthermore, the decreased flute angle has significantly increased GTX File’s flexibility compared with other landed instruments, simultaneously doubling the chip space between the flutes for a longer cutting time before clogging.

Another important design feature of GTX Files is their limited maximum flute diameter. Keeping the...
cutting flute diameters limited to 1mm controls the amount of coronal enlargement during the shaping procedure, which is critical to the maintenance of the structural integrity of roots and to the avoidance of strip perforation.

All of these innovations in design geometry have resulted in a file set that typically cuts the ideal shape in most canals with one to three instruments and in as little time as 30 to 45 seconds (Figs. 3 & 4). That’s why geometry matters._

Dr L. Stephen Buchanan is a Diplomate of the American Board of Endodontics and a Fellow of both the International College of Dentists and American College of Dentists. Clinicians interested in his DVD series, The Art of Endodontics, and his hands-on laboratory workshops in Santa Barbara, USA, can call +1 800 528 1590 (US and Canada) or +1 805 899 4529 (for international calls).

For more information related to this article and for GTX updates and answers to frequently asked questions, please visit www.endobuchanan.com. Free CE online courses are also available on the GTX System and other topics.
Ready-to-use root-canal sealer: 2Seal easymiX

2Seal is a tried and tested epoxy-amine-based root-canal sealer. It has been available for many years in a standard two-tube pack and is now available as 2Seal easymiX in a convenient double-chamber mixing syringe with exchangeable, flexible intra-oral tips. 2Seal easymiX always mixes to an ideal ratio, which can be applied directly into the root canal— with no mess or waste.

It’s a classic product made more convenient! 2Seal has excellent, proven properties. It is bio-compatible and characterised by optimal viscosity, as well as ideal radiopacity. It is ideal for use with both cold and warm obturation techniques. The new easymiX syringe significantly improves handling and the precise mixing of both components. This is a clear advantage in daily practice.

2Seal fulfils all criteria cited in the Quality Guidelines for Endodontic Treatment of the European Society of Endodontology. Furthermore, epoxy-resin sealers have been examined in more than 90 studies and proven reliable in clinical application.

2Seal easymiX is available in a starter kit with 1 double-chamber syringe, 20 mixing tips with intra-oral tips, 1 mixing block and 1 convenient organiser. The mixing syringes are available in a double pack. Mixing tips with intra-oral tips come in refills of 40 pieces.

**Contact**

VDW GmbH
Bayerwaldstr. 15
81737 Munich
Germany
E-mail: info@vdw-dental.com
Website: www.vdw-dental.com
FDI Annual World Dental Congress
2-5 September 2010
Salvador da Bahia, Brazil

congress@fdiworlddental.org
www.fdiworlddental.org
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2010

AAE Annual Session
Where: San Diego, CA, USA
Date: 14–17 April 2010
E-mail: info@aae.org
Website: www.aae.org

Roots Summit 2010
Where: Barcelona, Spain
Date: 3–5 June 2010
E-mail: info.roots@evento.es
Website: www.evento.es

NEF Annual Meeting
Where: Larvik, Norway
Date: 4–6 June 2010
Website: www.endodonti.no

SFE International Congress 2010
Where: Nancy, France
Date: 25 & 26 June 2010
E-mail: contacts@sf-endo.com
Website: www.sf-endo.com

SkandEndo 2010
Where: Espoo, Finland
Date: 19–21 August 2010
Website: www.osf.fi

COSAE 2010
Where: Buenos Aires, Argentina
Date: 26–28 August 2010
E-mail: sae@aoa.org.ar
Website: www.fdiworldental.org

FDI Annual World Dental Congress
Where: Salvador da Bahia, Brazil
Date: 2–5 September 2010
E-mail: congress@fdiworldental.org
Website: www.fdiworldental.org

8th IFEA World Congress
Where: Athens, Greece
Date: 6–9 October 2010
E-mail: IFEAsecretary@aol.com
Website: www.ifea2010-athens.com

Trans-Tasman Endodontic Conference
Where: Christchurch, New Zealand
Date: 4–6 November 2010
E-mail: info@tteconference.com
Website: www.tteconference.com

DGEndo Annual Meeting
Where: Berlin, Germany
Date: 4–6 November 2010
E-mail: sekretariat@dgendo.de
Website: www.dg-endo.de

2011

34th International Dental Show
Where: Cologne, Germany
Date: 22–26 March 2011
E-mail: ids@koelnmesse.de
Website: www.ids-cologne.de

15th Biennial ESE Congress
Where: Rome, Italy
Date: 28 September–1 October 2011
Website: www.eserome2011.com
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ADHESIVE CORE BUILD-UP SYSTEM

Complete set for 15 post-endodontic treatment
- Effective five step system
- Monoblock formation of tooth, post and build-up
- Futurabond and Ceramic Bond for highest stability
- Aesthetic foundation for prosthetic restorations